



RESEARCH ARTICLE

A New Generation of Community Developers: Shifts and Trends in Community Development in Kenya

Robert Mutemi Kajiita^{1*}, Simon Murote Kang'ethe²¹Walter Sisulu University – Mthatha²Department of Social Work

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Received: May 17, 2025	This paper explores Kenya's evolving community development landscape, highlighting the paradigm shift from state- and donor-driven initiatives to participatory, citizen-led, and innovation-centred approaches. Anchored in Social Change Theory and Participatory Development Theory, the study employs a literature review methodology to analyse the structural, ideological, and institutional transformations shaping contemporary community development practices. It identifies the emergence of a new generation of community developers—youth, women, diaspora actors, and grassroots innovators—who drive change through entrepreneurship, civic engagement, and localized governance. The findings reveal that this new wave of community development emphasizes tangible outcomes in health, education, agriculture, and environmental conservation while leveraging digital tools, social enterprise models, and decentralized governance frameworks. Key trends include self-funding mechanisms, diaspora remittances, climate-smart agriculture, technology-enhanced participation, and grassroots-led oversight of public projects. These shifts signify a movement from passive aid dependency to active resource generation, social accountability, and community ownership. The paper concludes that Kenya's community development trajectory reflects a broader metamorphosis towards inclusive, resilient, and sustainable models aligned with global and continental frameworks such as the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and African Union Agenda 2063. The research contributes to policy and practice by offering a nuanced understanding of how structural empowerment, participatory governance, and social innovation redefine community development in Kenya.
Accepted: Jul 26, 2025	
Keywords Community Development Emancipation Paradigm Shift Collective Action Self-Reliance Kenya	
*Corresponding Author: rkajiita@wsu.ac.za	

INTRODUCTION

Globally, community development is an important tool and engine of grassroots socioeconomic development and political emancipation. Community development as a developmental approach has been embraced by governments, and international and local Non-Governmental Organizations to expedite service delivery in health, education, agriculture and food security, social cohesion, and integration among other human development aspects (Ahmad & Islam, 2024 a, b, c; Bonilla-Santiago, 2019; Bhattacharyya, 2004; Davis et al., 2018; Kajiita, 2022; Fuchs, Peters & Neufeldt, 2019). Conventionally, community development in Kenya has been associated with government and international donor programmes targeted at alleviating poverty in rural communities (Hassan, Ong'ayo & Osore, 2018; Ifejika-Speranza et al., 2018; Irandu & Shah, 2014; Manyara & Jones, 2007). However, in the current dispensation, there is a paradigm shift in community development due to various factors such as gaps in government programmes and leadership, diminishing international donor funding, increasing active collective action, acquisition of knowledge and skills by community residents, active debate on the transformation of communities, and the arising desire for self-emancipatory (Matarrita-Cascante & Brennan, 2012; Mutai et al., 2025; Nel, 2018a; Salam, 2024). Consequently, the modern community development in Kenya is momentarily driven by a new generation of community developers. It encompasses matters of absorbing and generating resources

such as welfare, financial inclusion, security and safety in neighbourhoods, infrastructure development, and improved agricultural practices, among others (Rehema, 2024; Wallace & Townsend, 2020; Wamwea & Culas, 2024). Interestingly, these activities, supported by strategic community leadership and governance, and active community participation, propel community development into tangible and sustainable results (Ahmad & Islam, 2024d; Nel, 2018b). Therefore, this paper takes stock of the shifts and trends in community development to illustrate how it has 'metamorphosed' in Kenya over time. This is important in understanding the possible future trends in community development for policy development and practice readjustments in the country.

This paper does not attempt to challenge the erstwhile *modus operandi* to community development, but to document the shifts and the novelty of initiatives, activities, and programmes culminating in community development in the current dispensation. Thus, it attempts to address the following questions:

1. What are the drivers for the shifts in community development in Kenya?
2. What are the current trends of community development in democratic Kenya?

The 'Metamorphosis' of Community Development in Kenya

Over the years, Kenya's community development landscape has undergone significant paradigm shifts. The shifts can be attributed to academic institutions, religious bodies, foreign donors, and grassroots organizations' pivotal roles in reshaping development for sustainable and inclusive growth (Brown & Green, 2015; Davis, 2018; Fuchs et al., 2019; Izugbara, Tikkanen & Barron, 2014). These shifts are reshaping the nature of community leadership, participation, and sustainability. The traditional top-down approach has gradually given way to participatory, people-driven models influenced by local governance structures, grassroots innovations, and academic contributions. These changes are critical in shaping the conceptualization and contextualization of community development as getting people to work together to enhance their economic, social, political, and cultural way of life, through involving everyone in the decision-making process (Ahmad & Islam, 2024d; Kimani & Kombo, 2011). This is accomplished through various events, projects, initiatives, or programs in a specific area or community.

Over the years, the government has been a critical catalyst and coordinator of community development, the most appropriate approach for developing rural communities (Kimani & Kombo, 2011; Van Schalkwyk, 2015). This is because it is participation-driven and involves a bottom-up approach through the identification of needs, resources, and solutions to problems (Ahmad & Islam, 2024b). The philosophy that development must be people-centred and involve active participation in identifying their needs and solutions to their problems has gained significant acceptance (Gray, 2023; Kajiita & Kang'ethe, 2020).

Community development is not new to Kenya; it has existed in one form or another since pre-colonial times (Batten, 1951; Kaseje, Sempebwa & Spencer, 1987). However, what was termed community development was, in fact, state and project building of villages rather than enabling an ongoing development process (Vidal & Keating, 2004). This called for community developers to be 'change agents'. Global social development agendas, such as the Global Agenda for Social Development, which encompasses a set of principles, goals, and commitments aimed at promoting social justice, equity, and well-being for all, are critical for transformative community development in the current dispensation (IASSW, ICSW, IFSW, 2018). The Global Agenda for Social Development outputs international agreements and declarations, including the United Nations Millennium Declaration, the World Summit for Social Development, and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). These global agendas are of critical interest because community development intersects with the Global Agenda for Social Development in various aspects, such as poverty eradication by empowering communities, building local capacity, promoting inclusive economic growth, fostering social inclusion, and addressing systemic inequalities (IASSW, ICSW, IFSW, 2018). Current community development approaches seek to create more inclusive and equitable societies through these tailored interventions.

Additionally, community development is integral to the realization of the African Union Agenda 2063, a strategic framework for the socioeconomic transformation of Africa over the next decades (African Union Commission, 2015). The African Union Agenda 2063 outlines the aspirations of

African countries to achieve inclusive growth, sustainable development, and social progress across the continent (African Union Commission, 2015). The African Union Agenda 2063 intersects with community development in various aspects, such as inclusive growth and sustainable development by empowering communities, and enhancing access to essential services such as healthcare, education, and clean water (Ifejika-Speranza et al., 2016). Essentially, community development aligns with the aspirations and priorities of the African Union Agenda 2063. It serves as a key mechanism for realizing Africa's vision of an integrated, prosperous, and peaceful continent driven by its citizens and communities. Thus, by investing in community-driven approaches to development, Kenya can unlock the potential of its people and build a resilient and inclusive society in the 21st century and beyond.

Historical Account of Community Development in Kenya: A Structural Perspective

Community development in Kenya has evolved from informal, traditional systems to structured government-led programs post-independence, and now toward devolved, people-centered approaches (Batten, 1951; Davis et al., 2018; Kaseje et al., 1987). While the *Harambee* spirit fostered unity in the past, current development frameworks focus more on legal and institutional participation. The table below provides a snippet of this progressive development.

Table 1. A summary of Key events in Kenya's Community Development Trajectory

PERIOD	KEY CHARACTERISTICS	ACTORS INVOLVED	KEY POLICIES	IMPACT/OUTCOMES
PRE-COLONIAL (BEFORE 1895)	-Community self-help through traditional systems (e.g., Harambee). -Communal/clan action.	-Local elders -Clans' heads -Families	-No formal policy -oral traditions and customary practices guided interventions	-Strong communal and clan bonds -Reliance on informal support systems.
COLONIAL ERA (1895-1963)	-The colonial British rule introduced hierarchical governance -Focus was on the cash crop economy and infrastructure for settlers -Natives were marginalized.	-British administrators -Missionaries -Chiefs.	-Local Native Councils -Village Development Committees	-Limited community empowerment -Introduction of participatory structures
EARLY POST-INDEPENDENCE (1963-1978)	-Nation-building era under <i>Harambee mantra</i> ("pulling together") -Heavy reliance on community contributions in all aspects of community interventions.	-President Jomo Kenyatta– the Champion -Government Ministries, -Grassroots leaders (e.g., Chiefs).	-Harambee Movement -African Socialism -Session Paper No. 10 (1965)	-Expansion of schools and health centres -Uneven development across the country
MOI ERA (1978-2002)	-Politicization of development -Focus for Rural Development -Introduction of community-based projects guided by the district administration.	-President Moi -Provincial Administration -NGOs	-District Focus Rural Development Strategy -Nyayo Philosophy International donor Aid	-More community participation, -Corruption and mismanagement undermined the intended results.
MORE DEMOCRATIC ERA (2003-2013)	-Developmental reforms -Constituency Development Fund (CDF) -Greater international aid	-Members of Parliament -Civil society -International Aid agencies	-CDF Act (2003) -Vision 2030 (2008)	-Improved infrastructure through CDF -Improved service delivery -improved access to basic
DEVOLUTION ERA (2013-PRESENT).	-Devolved governance under the 2010 Constitution -Formation and operationalization of 47 county governments -Emphasis on grassroots participation	-County administration (e.g., MCAs, governors, senators, etc.) -National government -NGOs -citizens	-County Integrated Development Plans (CIDPs) -Public Participation Act -Vision 2030	-Increased local decision-making -Improved local services delivery -increased self-generated community projects

Theoretical Framework

One needs to integrate a multi-theoretical framework to understand the transformation in community development in Kenya, especially the emerging actors, ideologies, and practices. For this study, social change and participatory development theories are critical. The multi-theoretical

framework is critical in analysing and understanding the complexity of modern community development, especially in democratic and digitally evolving societies like Kenya.

Social Change Theory

This theory helps conceptualize and analyse how shifts in social norms, institutions, and actors drive new forms of community development (Rachmad, 2022). This theory is anchored on classical, structural, conflictual, ecological, modernization, social evolution, and diffusion of innovation (Rachmad, 2022; Simth, 1973). Using this theory, researchers can trace the historical transformation of community development from colonial-era state-led projects to youth-led, grassroots, and tech-enabled initiatives. Therefore, this theory enables us to understand how a new social class reshapes civic engagement in governance, economic emancipation, and community development.

METHODOLOGY

This paper adopted a literature review methodology to explore the evolving landscape of community development in Kenya, focusing on identifying the emergence of a new generation of community developers and the shifts and trends shaping this transformation. The objective is to critically examine the factors influencing the changing dynamics of community development and the strategies used by contemporary practitioners in Kenya. This exploration contributes to future policy frameworks, capacity-building strategies, and sustainable development programming in the Kenyan context.

To ensure the credibility and validity of the findings, this paper employed the six-step literature review process proposed by Templier and Paré (2015). The following questions guided this review:

1. What are the drivers for the shifts in community development in Kenya?
2. What are the current trends of community development in democratic Kenya?

Having stated the research questions, the researchers searched for the relevant literature. The search focused on peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, government policy documents, and reports by NGOs, international development organizations, and community-based organizations operating in Kenya. The researchers used the following keywords in the search: 'community development in Kenya', 'youth in community development work', 'civil society trends Kenya', 'grassroots development innovations', 'new actors in community development', 'decentralization and local governance Kenya', and 'community-led development approaches. The databases searched included ProQuest, ScienceDirect, CABINET, EBSCOhost, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar.

The articles were selected based on specific inclusion criteria: publications on Kenya or Sub-Saharan Africa, written in English, and published between 2000 and 2024. Priority was given to research discussing community development approaches, the changing profiles of development practitioners, institutional innovations, and the implications of devolution and youth participation in development. This Kenyan focus was emphasized because the country has experienced significant political, social, and developmental reforms since promulgating the 2010 Constitution, which introduced devolved governance and created new avenues for community-driven development initiatives.

The data extraction involved reviewing titles, abstracts, and full texts to identify relevant content. Extracted information was categorized and synthesized around the research questions. Key themes are presented, interpreted, and discussed further to demonstrate patterns and trends in community development in Kenya.

Findings

In this section, various themes emerging from literature analysis are presented, interpreted, and discussed. The key themes illustrating the paradigm shift in community development in Kenya include tangible empowerment projects, innovation and hybridization of agricultural activities, oversight to promote good governance, and self-funding as new phenomena in community development.

Tangible empowerment initiatives and projects

For years, people-centric developmentalists have desired tangible outcomes for development projects. This desire has seen a shift in how community projects are currently undertaken in Kenya.

For instance, Mahugu and Muiga (2025) emphasize the critical role of capacity building and education in producing community development professionals equipped with modern tools and project management skills to ensure tangible benefits to communities, on a short-term and long-term basis. The current generation of community developers undertakes empowerment as a powerful tool to liberate and transform their communities (Maoncha, 2024). Essentially, communities are empowered through promoting entrepreneurship (Ahmad & Islam, 2024d; Irandu & Shah, 2014), enhancing access to education (Kimani & Kombo, 2011), healthcare, and basic services (Brown & Green, 2015; Davis et al., 2018; Ifejika-Speranza et al., 2016). These community development initiatives contribute to lifting people out of poverty and ensuring shared prosperity across the country. Moreover, community development interventions focused on health, education, and skills training play a critical role in building human capital and enhancing the productivity and well-being of individuals and families in communities (Kinyanjui & Misaro, 2013; Manyara & Jones, 2007; Wallace & Townsend, 2020).

Some of the most notable empowerment initiatives in the Kenyan community development continuum include women's economic empowerment programs, where civil organizations such as the Maendeleo Ya Wanawake Organization (MYWO) have initiated microfinance and entrepreneurship training programs for women in rural areas; and the youth and women fund, a government initiative to empower women and youth in enterprise development (Hassan et al., 2018; Obuyi, 2024). These programs have helped women start small businesses, improve their income-generating activities, and gain financial independence, ultimately contributing to poverty reduction and women's emancipation.

Health-wise, there are several community health initiatives to improve healthcare access and outcomes in underserved areas of Kenya. Some of the programs are focusing on maternal and child health, and HIV/AIDS prevention and treatment (Cyril et al., 2015; Davis et al., 2018; Otiso et al., 2017), and water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) (Ifejika-Speranza et al., 2016). Community-driven water and sanitation projects, often implemented in partnership with NGOs, government agencies, and international donors, have helped improve access to safe drinking water and sanitation facilities in rural and peri-urban areas of Kenya (Hassan et al., 2018; Ifejika-Speranza et al., 2016). The hygiene promotion campaigns have improved health outcomes and reduced waterborne diseases in beneficiary communities. Through these initiatives, community health volunteers have been trained to provide essential healthcare services, promote health education, and facilitate referrals to health facilities (Brown & Green, 2015; Kosgei, 2023). Through these efforts at the grassroots level, there are improved health outcomes and reduced congestion at healthcare facilities in rural communities.

Notably, access to education initiatives is a fundamental component of community development, and various initiatives in Kenya have focused on improving access to quality education and empowering youth to attain market-oriented skills (Kosgei, 2023). For instance, the *Elimu Tuitakayo* (Education for All) initiative aims to increase enrollment, reduce dropout rates, and improve learning outcomes in primary and secondary schools (Kosgei, 2023). Additionally, almost every district, through public demands, the government and private investors have provided vocational training institutions to train and mentor young people to gain employment or start their businesses (Maoncha, 2024; Rwigema, 2022). Moreover, community-based conservation initiatives, such as community conservancies and ecotourism ventures, have been successful in preserving ecosystems, protecting wildlife, and generating income for local communities (Galvin, Beeton & Luizza, 2018; Irandu & Shah, 2014; Juma & Khademi-Vidra, 2019; Lemunge, Kiwango & Mwanyoka, 2025; Manyara & Jones, 2007). For example, projects like the Ol Pejeta Conservancy and the Mara Naboisho Conservancy have demonstrated how community-led conservation efforts can benefit people and nature by promoting sustainable land management practices and creating economic opportunities through tourism and sustainable agriculture. Therefore, through vocational training, entrepreneurship support, and job opportunities for young people, community development initiatives address various social ills such as crime, inequality, unemployment, and ignorance.

Innovation and hybridization of agricultural activities

Over the years, rural communities in Kenya have suffered famine and hunger, especially in the arid and semi-arid areas (Wamwea & Culas, 2024). To address food security in such communities, community development practitioners focus on technology and climate change strategies to

promote agricultural activities with high food and economic returns (Obuyi, 2024; Wallace & Townsend, 2020; Wamwea & Culas, 2024). The government of Kenya is undertaking an ambitious agenda to have IT hubs in all locations (administrative units) to spur digital jobs and opportunities related to technology. Accordingly, Tumuti (2023) emphasizes the role of ICT in enhancing community participation and improving outreach programs, especially in rural and peri-urban Kenya. Wamwea and Culas (2024) highlight the intersection of agriculture, climate resilience, and food security in Kenya. They assert that a shift in donor development strategies and adopting Agri-tech is transforming rural development models. Food security is crucial for a healthy and self-sustaining society. The current trends in community development initiatives in rural areas in Kenya promote agricultural productivity (Obuyi, 2024), improve infrastructure (Salam, 2024), and provide support for smallholder farmers (Wamwea & Culas, 2024), contributing to the realization of Vision 2030's objectives for self-reliance and sustainable development.

Oversight of the government to promote good governance

Kenyan democratic leadership and governance have grown, but teething challenges remain, especially in the accountability of national resources and funds. Kenya's decentralization and devolution of governance are premised on Kenya's 2010 Constitution, which created 47 county governments (Ojwang & Bwisa, 2014). The devolved governance enabled localized planning, resource allocation, and grassroots decision-making and oversight. Therefore, to ensure efficiency and effective use of resources, active citizens have organized community-based organizations to provide extra oversight on development in their respective communities (Ahmad & Islam, 2024d). In Kenya, especially in 2024, Gen-Z youth activists became popular against the anti-finance bill 2024, which culminated in a national political uproar. Together with other actors, this generation of youth is pushing for leadership and governance reforms to ensure adequate community development. Irrefutably, good governance, transparency, and accountability are core principles for driving transformative development outcomes (Ahmad & Islam, 2024a, c). New community development initiatives promote participatory decision-making, accountability mechanisms, and local governance structures that empower communities to shape their development agendas and hold government and other stakeholders accountable for delivering on their commitments.

Self-funding phenomenon embraced in community development

Traditionally, funding and support for community development in Africa come from various sources, such as government allocations, international donors, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and private sector contributions (Izugbara et al., 2014; Kajiita, 2022). The current trends show that community contributions (though they have been there before) are becoming prominent in establishing and implementing community projects and initiatives (Kariuki & Njuki, 2013; Kinyanjui & Misaro, 2013; Mutai et al., 2025). Recent studies indicate more deliberate and strategic contributions of individuals and communities' resources, labour, and expertise to ensure the success of development projects and initiatives (Mutai et al., 2025; Muthuri, 2001; Ojwang & Bwisa, 2014). Mutai et al. (2025) indicate that diaspora remittances have significantly impacted community development by influencing local enterprise development and bringing services nearer to the consumers. This fosters a sense of ownership, pride, and accountability among residents. The new age of community developers is adopting social enterprise models to initiate energy projects for lighting homes, such as Sun-King Solar, street lighting, and construction of feeder roads (Ogeya, 2025). These activities and initiatives propel the self-help narrative and reduce dependency on government development initiatives.

DISCUSSION

Kenya is witnessing a transformative shift in community development from donor-dependent and government-led initiatives to participatory, tech-driven, and climate-conscious models. A new generation of community actors—led by youth, empowered women, and social impact-driven entrepreneurs is setting a new development agenda focused on sustainability, inclusion, and self-emancipation. Recent literature on community development indicates that community developers seek social and economic transformation impact (Brown & Green, 2015; Davis et al., 2018; Hassan et al., 2018; Ifejika-Speranza et al., 2016). This effort addresses some colonial and imperial subjugation of Kenyan communities (Smyth, 2004). The early African leaders and activists emphasized the importance of community solidarity, self-reliance, and empowerment as essential

components of the struggle for freedom and dignity (Irandu & Shah, 2014; Kimani & Kombo, 2011). While this played a crucial role in laying the groundwork for post-independence community-building efforts, the implementation was not transformative as envisioned (Fuchs et al., 2019; Mulwa, 2012). This is against the backdrop that the national and international development structures recognize the importance of community development as a cornerstone of national development and social progress (Greenberg, Cohen & Mosek, 2016; Green, 2008). This is why community development initiatives have been developed by establishing policies to mobilize communities, promote self-help initiatives, and address socioeconomic disparities at the grassroots level.

In Kenya, due to the need to devolve resources to grassroots levels, community development has increasingly been decentralized, with local governments, community-based organizations (CBOs), and influential personalities taking on greater roles in planning, implementing, and monitoring development projects (Mutai et al., 2025; Muthuri, 2001; Ogeya et al., 2025; Wamwea & Culas, 2024). The current community development in Kenya is driven by a new generation of persons leveraging advances in technology, increased access to education and information, to spearhead social justice and participatory governance (Ogeya, et al., 2025; Salam, 2024; Wallace & Townsend, 2020). The emerging generation of community developers has demonstrated resilience, creativity, and a strong sense of solidarity, laying the foundation for inclusive and sustainable community development in the future (Ahmad & Islam, 2024d; Christens & Inzeo, 2015; Hassan et al., 2018). These attributes result in tangible infrastructure development, such as the construction of roads, schools, healthcare facilities, water supply systems, and application of food security technologies (Davis et al., 2018; Kimani & Kombo, 2011; Ifejika-Speranza et al., 2016; Wamwea & Culas, 2024). These investments in infrastructure are essential for enhancing the quality of life and promoting economic development in rural and urban areas alike.

Irrefutably, leadership and governance are crucial aspects of community development in Kenya, as they shape the direction, effectiveness, and sustainability of initiatives to improve the well-being of communities (Nel, 2018a; Ojwang & Bwisa, 2014). Leaders are responsible for setting policies, allocating resources, and directing development efforts. Effective leadership fosters transparency, accountability, and responsiveness to the needs of communities. Within communities, local leaders such as chiefs, elders, community-based organizations (CBOs), and self-help groups play crucial roles in driving development initiatives (Cyril et al., 2015; Kajiita, 2022). These leaders mobilize community members, facilitate participation in decision-making processes, and represent the interests of their constituencies. Essentially, strong ethical community leadership fosters unity, collaboration, and collective action toward common goals (Kajiita & Kang'ethe, 2020).

However, corruption and embezzlement of public funds have been a daunting challenge in Kenya (Mathie, Cameron & Gibson, 2017). This phenomenon has led to young generations' charged emotions against government leaders. Arguably, good governance is at the heart of modern community development, which speaks to the African Agenda 2063, which underscores the importance of peace, security, and good governance for Africa's development and integration (African Union Commission, 2015). Therefore, community development efforts that promote social cohesion and strengthen local governance structures contribute to building peaceful and resilient communities.

The current trends in community development initiatives often involve collaboration among governments, civil society organizations, the private sector, and other stakeholders to mobilize resources, share expertise, and address complex development challenges (Edwards, 2018; Greenberg et al., 2016; Muthuri, 2001). Therefore, to expedite transformative community development, various strategies need to be put in place in Kenya, including support for youth entrepreneurship through county-level funds and mentorship programs, strengthening ICT infrastructure in rural areas to enhance digital inclusion, attracting diaspora investment through local expatriates, and training local leaders in implementing participatory governance.

CONCLUSION

The evolution of community development in Kenya reveals a dynamic shift from hierarchical, state-centered models to participatory, locally driven, and innovation-oriented paradigms. This transformation is driven by a new generation of community developers reshaping traditional norms

with fresh ideologies rooted in self-reliance, empowerment, inclusivity, and social justice. These developers—comprising youth, women, local leaders, and diaspora actors—utilize contemporary tools such as digital technology, entrepreneurial models, and social accountability mechanisms to address longstanding socioeconomic challenges at the grassroots level. This study reveals that community development in Kenya is no longer exclusively a product of government planning or international donor agendas. Instead, it is increasingly being propelled by internal community energies, marked by enhanced civic consciousness, local funding innovations, hybrid agricultural practices, environmental stewardship, and assertive leadership advocacy. The commitment to tangible results—such as improved education, health services, economic empowerment programs, and environmental conservation—demonstrates a renewed emphasis on outcomes over processes.

Furthermore, the paper underscores the significance of Kenya's constitutional reforms, particularly the devolution framework introduced in 2010, as a catalyst for localized development and participatory governance. Integrating theoretical perspectives—especially Social Change Theory and Participatory Development Theory—provides a nuanced understanding of how ideological shifts and structural empowerment underpin these community transformations. In essence, Kenya's community development trajectory is undergoing a profound metamorphosis. It is moving from dependency on external aid to homegrown models that value indigenous knowledge, accountability, and collective agency. This signifies not just a change in development methodology but a redefinition of development that prioritizes equity, resilience, and long-term sustainability. As the country grapples with governance, resource allocation, and inclusivity challenges, the momentum generated by this emerging cadre of community developers will likely play a decisive role in shaping Kenya's socio-political and economic future. The emerging trends are that community development is shifting from a resource absorption paradigm to a resource creation paradigm, amplifying and actualizing the narrative of self-help philosophy in Kenya.

Authors' Contributions

Conceptualization of the manuscript, RMK & SMK; drafting and writing of the manuscript, RKM; reviewing and editing of the manuscript, RMK & SMK. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank in advance all the reviewers of this manuscript, as well as the editorial team for the comments and assistance in the process of publication.

REFERENCES

- African Union Commission. (2015). *Agenda 2063: The Africa we want (Popular version, final edition)*. African Union Commission. <https://www.agenda2063.au.int>
- Ahmad, I., & Islam, M.R. (2024b). The fabric of society: Understanding community development. In *Building Strong Communities: Ethical Approaches to Inclusive Development* (pp 1-126). Emerald Publishing Limited, Leeds. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83549-174-420241001>
- Ahmad, I., & Islam, M.R. (2024d). Empowerment and participation: Key strategies for inclusive development. In *Building Strong Communities: Ethical Approaches to Inclusive Development* (pp 47-68). Emerald Publishing Limited, Leeds. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83549-174-420241003>
- Ahmad, I., & Islam, M.R. (2024a). Grounded morality: The role of ethics in community development. *Building Strong Communities: Ethical Approaches to Inclusive Development (Diverse Perspectives on Creating a Fairer Society)* (pp 27-45). Emerald Publishing Limited, Leeds. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83549-174-420241002>
- Ahmad, I., & Islam, M.R. (2024c). The road ahead in the 21st century: Mapping global progress in ethical approaches to inclusive community development. In *Building Strong Communities: Ethical Approaches to Inclusive Development (Diverse Perspectives on Creating a Fairer Society)*, (pp 91-131). Emerald Publishing Limited, Leeds. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83549-174-420241005>
- Batten, T. R. (1951). Community development in the colonies. *African Affairs*, 50(201), 321–326. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/718556>

- Bhattacharyya, J. (2004). Theorizing community development. *Community Development*, 34(2), 5–34. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15575330409490110>
- Bonilla-Santiago, G. (2019). A case study of local community development and citizen participation in Cuba: A comprehensive Neighborhood Transformation Approach. *International Journal of Cuban Studies*, 11(2), 174–199. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.13169/intejcubastud.11.2.0174>
- Brown, H., & Green, M. (2015). At the service of community development: The Professionalization of volunteer work in Kenya and Tanzania. *African Studies Review*, 58(2), 63–84. <https://doi.org/10.1017/asr.2015.38>
- Christens, B. D., & Inzeo, P. T. (2015). Widening the view: Situating collective impact among frameworks for community-led change. *Community Development*, 46(4), 420–435. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15575330.2015.1061680>
- Cyril, S., Smith, B.J., Possamai-Inesedy, A., & Renzaho, A.M.N. (2015). Exploring the role of community engagement in improving the health of disadvantaged populations: a systematic review. *Global Health Action*, 8(1), 29842. <https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v8.29842>
- Davis, E.C., Arana, E.T., Creel, J.S., Ibarra, S.C., Lechuga, J., Norman, R.A., Parks, H.R., Qasim, A., Watkins, D.Y., & Kash, B.A. (2018). The role of community engagement in building sustainable healthcare delivery interventions for Kenya. *European Journal of Training and Development*, 42(1/2), 35–47. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJTD-06-2016-0042>
- Edwards, J.B. (2018). Vital Assets: Libraries as partners in community Development. In *Challenging the “Jacks of All Trades but Masters of None” Librarian Syndrome (Advances in Library Administration and Organization, Vol. 39)* (pp. 1–14). Emerald Publishing Limited: Leeds. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S0732-067120180000039001>
- Fuchs, L.E., Peters, B., & Neufeldt, H. (2019). Identities, interests, and preferences matter: Fostering sustainable community development by building assets and agency in western Kenya. *Sustainable Development*, 27, 704–712. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.1934>
- Galvin, K. A., Beeton, T. A., & Luizza, M. W. (2018). African community-based conservation: A systematic review of social and ecological outcomes. *Ecology and Society*, 23(3), 39. <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-10217-230339>
- Gray, M. (2023). Social Development: Synthesizing Theory and Practice. *Social Development Issues*, 46(1), 3. <https://doi.org/10.3998/sdi.5293>
- Green, J.J. (2008). Community development as social movement: A contribution to models of practice. *Community Development*, 39(1), 50–62. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15575330809489741>
- Greenberg, Z., Cohen, A., & Mosek, A. (2016). Creating community partnership as a foundation for community building: The case of the renewed Kibbutz. *Journal of Community Practice*, 24(3), 283–301. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705422.2016.1201783>
- Hassan, F.A., Ong'ayo, H.A., & Osore, M.K. (2018). Measuring community participation in a demand-driven development project: Case of Hazina Ya Maendeleo Ya Pwani Approach in coastal Kenya. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 6, 189–203. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jss.2018.612017>
- IASSW, ICSW, IFSW. (2018). *Global Agenda for social work and social development: Third report*. 'Promoting community and environmental sustainability'. (Ed. David N Jones), IFS, Rheinfelden, Switzerland
- Ifejika-Speranza, C., Kiteme, B., Wiesmann, U., & Jörin, J. (2016). Community-based water development projects, their effectiveness, and options for improvement: lessons from Laikipia, Kenya. *African Geographical Review*, 37(3), 192–208. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19376812.2016.1253485>
- Irandu, E.M., & Shah, P. (2014). The role of ecotourism in promoting women's empowerment and community development: Some reflections from Kenya. *Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Management*, 2(6), 245–259. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17265/2328-2169/2014.06.002>
- Izugbara, C., Tikkanen, R., & Barron, K. (2014). Men, masculinity, and community development in Kenyan slums. *Community Development*, 45(1), 32–44. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15575330.2013.868816>
- Kajiita, R.M. (2022). Social enterprises as drivers of community development in South Africa: The case of Buffalo City Municipality. *Southern African Journal of Social Work and Social Development*, 34(3). <https://doi.org/10.25159/2708-9355/8967>

- Kajiita, R.M., & Kang'ethe, S.M. (2020). Impetus for Social Sustainability in South African Communities: Lessons from Social Enterprises in Buffalo City Metropolitan Municipality. *Southern African Journal of Social Work and Social Development*, 32 (3), <https://doi.org/10.25159/2708-9355/6869>.
- Kariuki, J., & Njuki, J. (2013). Using participatory impact diagrams to evaluate a community development project in Kenya. *Development in Practice*, 23(1), 90–106. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09614524.2013.753031>
- Kaseje, D. C. O., Sempebwa, E. K. N., & Spencer, H. C. (1987). Community leadership and participation in the Saradidi, Kenya, Rural Health Development Programme. *Annals of Tropical Medicine & Parasitology*, 81(sup1), 46–55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00034983.1987.11812188>
- Kimani, E.N., & Kombo, D.K. (2011). An investigation of community participation in the development of schools and income-generating projects in rural areas in Kenya. *British Journal of Educational Research*, 1(1), 58-68. <https://journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/article/view/771>
- Kinyanjui, K.D., & Misaro, J. (2013). Socioeconomic status and participatory development in Kenya. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 3(1), 183-193. <http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke:8080/xmlui/handle/123456789/43727>
- Kosgei, F. J. (2023). Impact of training on NGO teaching staff performance. Kenyatta University IR
- Mahugu, J. & Muiga, J. (2025). Capacity building in project management education and training at Kenyatta University. [IEK Kenya](https://www.iekkenya.org)
- Manyara, G., & Jones, E. (2007). Community-based Tourism Enterprises Development in Kenya: An Exploration of Their Potential as Avenues of Poverty Reduction. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 15(6), 628–644. <https://doi.org/10.2167/jost723.0>
- Maoncha, J. N. (2024). Empowering narratives: Ideological constructs in Kenyan magazines. *East African Journal of Arts and Social Sciences*, 7(2), 183-196. <https://doi.org/10.37284/eajass.7.2.2374>
- Matarrita-Cascante, D., & Brennan, M. A. (2011). Conceptualizing community development in the twenty-first century. *Community Development*, 43(3), 293–305. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15575330.2011.593267>
- Matarrita-Cascante, D., & Brennan, M. A. (2022). One more time: Conceptualizing community development in the twenty-first century. *Community Development*, 54(6), 899–912. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15575330.2022.2145325>
- Mathie, A., Cameron, J., & Gibson, K. (2017). Asset-based and citizen-led development: Using a diffracted power lens to analyse the possibilities and challenges. *Progress in Development Studies*, 17(1), 54–66. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1464993416674302>
- Mulwa, F.W. (2012). The practice of community development in Kenya: Challenges and issues for professionalisation. *Africanus*, 42(2), 67–81. <https://hdl.handle.net/10520/EJC136727>
- Mutai, N.C., Ibeh, L., Nguyen, M.C., Kiarie, J.W., & Ikamari, C. (2025). Sustainable economic development in Kenya: influence of diaspora remittances, foreign direct investment, and imports. *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 16(1), 61–78. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJEMS-01-2024-0059>
- Muthuri, J.N. (2001). Corporate citizenship and sustainable community development: Fostering multi-sector collaboration in Magadi Division in Kenya. *The Journal of Corporate Citizenship*, 28, 73–84. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/jcorpciti.28.73>
- Nel, H. (2018a). A comparison between the asset-oriented and needs-based community development approaches in terms of systems changes. *Practice; Social Work in Action*, 30(1), 33–52. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09503153.2017.1360474>
- Nel, H. (2018b). Community leadership: A comparison between asset-based community-led development (ABCD) and the traditional needs-based approach. *Development Southern Africa*, 35(6), 839-851, <https://doi.org/10.1080/0376835X.2018.1502075>
- Obuyi, R. Z. (2024). Effects of climate change on women's security dynamics in Samburu. *The Journal of Social Encounters*, 8(2), 259-290 <https://doi.org/10.69755/2995-2212.1290>
- Ogeya, M., Ogara, S., & Andersen, M. H. (2025). Mini-Grid developers and community innovation in Kenya. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 122, 104005. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2025.104005>
- Ojwang, O.W., & Bwisa, M.H. (2014). Role of participatory management in the sustainability of Constituency Development Fund Projects: A case study of Maragua Constituency.

- International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 4, 108-127. <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v4-i9/>
- Quimbo, M. A. T., Perez, J. E. M., & Tan, F. O. (2018). Community development approaches and methods: Implications for community development practice and research. *Community Development*, 49(5), 589–603. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15575330.2018.1546199>
- Rachmad, Y. E. (2022). *Social Change Theory*. Leipziger Bach Buch Internationaler Verlag, Spezialausgabe 2022. <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/27MH6>
- Rehema, Z.O. (2024). Effects of climate change on women's security dynamics in Baragoi, Samburu County, Kenya. *The Journal of Social Encounters*, 8(2), 259-290. <https://doi.org/10.69755/2995-2212.1290>
- Republic of Kenya. (1965). *Sessional Paper No.10 of 1965 on African Socialism and its Application to Kenya*. Nairobi. Government Printer.
- Republic of Kenya. (1983). *District Focus Strategy for Rural Development*. Government Printer. Nairobi. (Revised in 1995).
- Rwigema, P. C. (2022). Community development programs: conceptions and practices with emphasis on East Africa Community. *The Strategic Journal of Business & Change Management*, 9 (4), 1447 –1486. <http://dx.doi.org/10.61426/sjbcm.v9i4.2504>
- Salam, A. (2024). Internet of things for sustainable community development: Introduction and overview. In: *Internet of Things for Sustainable Community Development. Internet of Things*. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-62162-8_1
- Smith, A.D. (1973). *The Concept of Social Change (Routledge Revivals): A Critique of the Functionalist Theory of Social Change* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203851678>
- Smyth, R. (2004). The roots of community development in colonial office policy and practice in Africa. *Social Policy and Administration*, 38(4), 418–436. https://starr.tamu.edu/files/2013/01/04_Smyth.pdf
- Templier, M., & Paré, G. A. (2015). Framework for Guiding and Evaluating Literature Reviews. *Communication Association Information System*, 37, 112-137. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1CAIS.03706>
- Tumuti, J. W. (2023). Adoption of ICT by community-based organizations in Thika District. <http://ir-library.ku.ac.ke/handle/123456789/6401>
- Van Schalkwyk, B.B. (2015). Challenges in realising sustainable community development in rural South Africa. *Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries*, 4 (4-1), 73-79. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.aff.s.2015040401.19>
- Verhoef, G., & Hidden, K. (2022). Savings groups in South Africa. In Redford, D.T., Verhoef, G. (Eds.) *Transforming Africa*. (pp 235-260). Emerald Publishing Limited, Leeds. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80262-053-520221017>
- Vidal, A.C., & Keating, W.D. (2004). Community development: Current issues and emerging challenges. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 26(2), 125-137, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0735-2166.2004.00191.x>
- Wallace, C., & Townsend, L. (2020). Community development, well-being, and technology: a Kenyan village. In R. Phillips, E. Trevan, & P. Kraeger (eds.). *Research Handbook on Community Development*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781788118477.00014>
- Wallis, M. (1976). Community development in Kenya: Some current issues. *Community Development Journal*, 11(3), 192–198. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/44255782>
- Wamwea, A., & Culas, R.J. (2024). Enhancing food security through climate-smart Agriculture in Kenya. In: Behnassi, M., Al-Shaikh, A.A., Hussain Qureshi, R., Barjees Baig, M., Faraj, T.K.A. (eds) *Climate-Smart and Resilient Food Systems and Security*. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-65968-3_10