



RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Characteristics of the Dynamic Strategic Civilizational System $X' = Ax + g$

Dr. Raga Hassan Ali Shiekh

Assistance professor in Mathematical Statistics, Tabuk University- College Tayma

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Received: Dec 4, 2024	The aim of this paper is to introduce some new applications to explain the behaviors of dynamic phenomena of civilized strategies, and how to determine the basic behavior of the system in area of cybernetics gaming {17}i.e. a field of total perceived power differential games theory and national strategy system, specially the control, stability and Observability.
Accepted: Jan 17, 2025	
<b>Keywords</b>	
Dynamic	
Strategic	
Civilizational System	

**\*Corresponding Author:**

r\_shiekh@ut.edu.sa

## INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to introduce some new applications to explain the behaviors of dynamic phenomena of civilized strategies, and how to determine the basic behavior of the system in area of cybernetics gaming {17}i.e. a field of total perceived power differential games theory and national strategy system, specially the control, stability and Observability.

$$(1) X = Ax + g$$

$$A = (K_{ij}), g = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ g_n \end{bmatrix}$$

And it is equivalent to the equation  $X = Ax + Bu, \quad x(0) = x_0$  (2)

Then  $u \in L_\infty(0, t, IR)$  and  $A \in \Psi(IR^n), B \in (IR^m, IR^n)$  or  $L_2(0, t, IR^m)$

And is open to choice and is called control and thus  $x \in L_\infty(0, t, IR)$  therefore absolutely continuous and achieves the equation (2)

$$x(t) = e^{At}x_0 + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)}Bu(s)ds \tag{3}$$

**Theorem (1.1):** The control space X is a subspace in  $IR^n$

**Proof:**

Let  $x_1, x_2 \in \Psi$  then  $\exists t_1, t_2 < \infty$  and controls  $u_1 \in L_\infty(0, t_1, IR^m), u_2 \in L_\infty(0, t_2, IR^m)$  such that

$$x_1 = \int_0^{t_1} e^{A(t_1-s)} B u_1(s) ds$$

$$x_2 = \int_0^{t_2} e^{A(t_2-s)} B u_2(s) ds$$

Now from  $u_1$  generated control  $\hat{u}_1 \in L_\infty(0, t_2, IR^n)$

$$\hat{u} = 0, \text{ if } 0 \leq t \leq t_2 - t_1$$

$$\hat{u}(t + t_2 - t_1) = u(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_1$$

Now the control

$$\alpha \hat{u}_1(t) + \beta u_2(t) \in L_\infty \text{ such that } -\infty < \alpha, \beta < \infty$$

$$x = \alpha \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{A(t_2-s)} B u(s - t_2, t_1) ds + \beta x_2$$

Now we set

$$x = \alpha \int_0^{t_2} e^{A(t_1-s)} B u_1(s') ds' + \beta x_2 = \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2$$

Hence if  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  then  $\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2$  also belongs to  $X \forall \alpha, \beta$  finite for that  $X$  is linear subspace in  $IR^n$

**Preface (1.1):** the Vector  $x$  is Perpendicular to Space  $X$  if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} B' \\ B' A' \\ \vdots \\ B' (A')^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} x = 0$$

**Theorem (1.2):** The dimension of the control space is the rank of the matrix  $[B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}B]$  the rank of this matrix =  $n \times m$  and is called control matrix (18)

**Proof:**

If  $x$  is Perpendicular on  $x$  so since  $x_1 = \int_0^{t_1} e^{A(t_1-s)} B u(s) ds$  is an element identical to elements of  $x$  then we find

$$\langle x, \int_0^{t_1} e^{A(t_1-s)} B u(s) ds \rangle = 0 \tag{4}$$

Where  $\langle, \rangle$  symbolized inner product in  $x$

But the equation (4) includes

$$\int_0^{t_1} \langle B' e^{A(t_1-s)} x, u(s) ds \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \text{ controls } u_1 \text{ and then } B' e^{A(t_1-s)} x = 0$$

$\forall 0 \leq s \leq t_1$  put  $s = t_1$  we find  $B' x = 0$

and by differentiation with respect to the variable  $s$  "k" times and let  $s = t_1$

$B' (A')^k x = 0, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, (n - 1)$  Conversely assume Preface (1.1) is achieved by using Cayley - Hamilton theorem there is exist  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n$  so that  $(A')^n = C_1 (A')^{n-1} \dots + C_n I$

So that  $B' (A')^n x = 0$ , and thus, we can continue to obtain  $B' (A')^k x = 0$  if  $0 \leq k \leq n$

Now

$$B'e^{A(t_1-s)}x = B' \left[ I + (t_1 - s)A' + \frac{(t_1 - s)^2}{2!} (A')^2 + \dots \right]$$

Therefore  $B'e^{A(t_1-s)}x = 0$  Thus, this indicates that

$$\int_0^{t_2} \langle x, B'e^{A(t_1-s)}Bu(s) \rangle ds = 0 \quad \forall u \text{ and } t_1 \text{ therefore } X \text{ it should be vertical on } x$$

Now

$$\text{rank } [B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}] = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} B' \\ B'A' \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ B'(A')^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} x = 0 \text{ It } n-1 \text{ the number of independent linear } X \text{ such}$$

that:

$$\begin{bmatrix} B' \\ B'A' \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ B'(A')^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \text{ From preface (1.1) and so after } x \text{ it is } n \text{ the number of independent linear } X \text{ such that}$$

$X$  vertical on  $x$  then after  $\dim x = \text{rank } [B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}]$

**Preface (1.2):** if it was  $\text{rank } [B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}] = n$  then all points in  $\mathbb{R}^n$

It can be accessed from the origin point, so controlling the strategic system from the origin point can be verified in an easy algebraic way.

**Theorem (1.3):** if it was  $\text{rank } [B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}] = n$ , So  $\forall t_1 \geq 0$  the matrix

$W(t_1) = \int_0^{t_1} e^{-At} B B' e^{-At} dt$  that is strictly positive because any finite linear operator  $N$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ , is regular if  $NN^* = N^*N$  addition, there is a control that leads any

$x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  To the origin.

Proof: if it was  $[B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}] = n$ , so from the proof of theorem (1.2) we see that  $B'e^{-At}x = 0 \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_1$  it show that  $X = 0$

$$\langle x, W(t_1)x \rangle = \int_0^{t_1} \langle B'e^{-At}x, B'e^{-At}x \rangle dt = 0 \int_0^{t_1} \|B'e^{-At}x\|^2 dt \text{ hence } W(t_1)$$

At least completely positive but  $\langle x, W(t_1)x \rangle$  indicate that  $B'e^{-At}x = 0$  this achieves that  $X=0$  therefore  $W(t_1)$  clearly absolutely positive now consider that:

$u(t) = B'e^{-Au}(W(t))^{-1}x_0$  Control is played over the interval  $(0, t_1]$  is for a system with an initial state  $x_0$ , then  $x(t_1) = e^{-At_1}x_0 - \int_0^{t_1} e^{A(t_1-t)} B B' e^{-As} (W(t_1))^{-1} x_0 ds$

$$x(t_1) = e^{-At_1}x_0 - e^{A(t_1)} W(t_1) (W(t_1))^{-1}x_0$$

This control leads to the origin so, Synthesis of theories (1.1) and (1.2) results:

**Theorem (1.4):** System (2) is controllable if and only if  $\text{rank } [B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}] = n$

Now that the concept of control in the strategic context of civilization is fundamental, geometrically we expect that control is stable according to the changes in the coordinates. To see the truth of this, let  $y = Px$  where  $P$  the matrix is non-existent, then the equation (2) becomes in the form:

$$\dot{y} = \hat{A}y + \hat{B}u \text{ So that } \hat{A} = PAP^{-1}, \hat{B} = PB$$

$$\text{rank } [\hat{B}, \hat{A}\hat{B}, \dots, \hat{A}^{n-1}\hat{B}] = \text{rank } [PB, PAP^{-1}PB, \dots, PA^{n-1}B] = \text{rank } P[B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}B] \\ = \text{rank } [B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}B]$$

**Example (1.1):** Consider that the standard differential equation of the strategic dynamic civilizational race system between nations is:

$$\frac{d^n x}{dt^n} + a_n \frac{d^{n-1}x}{dt^{n-1}} + \dots + a_1 x = u \text{ let } X = x_1 \tag{5}$$

$\frac{dx_1}{dt_1} = x_2, \frac{dx_2}{dt_2} = x_3, \dots, \frac{dx_{n-1}}{dt_{n-1}} = x_n$  Then we find:

$\dot{X} = \dot{x} + g = Ax + bu$  So that

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdot \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -a_1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the rank[A, Ab, ..., A<sup>n-1</sup>b] = n

System (5) is controllable. It is clearly a competitive system for a (n) of nations in the strategic civilizational race.

**Example (1.2):** This example shows that not every strategic system is controllable. Consider that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cdot \\ x \\ \cdot \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u \text{ so } [b, Ab] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1$$

So the system is not controllable, and this is obvious if we write the system explicitly as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\bullet \\ y &= x, \dot{y} = y + u \\ &\bullet \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that X(t) that it is completely determined by the initial position and is not affected by the control u.

**2/ Stability:** If the controls for the system are given as a function of time I mean  $u: [0, T] \rightarrow IR^m$  then we call them an open loop. The reason is that if an open loop control is designed it must be based entirely on the mathematical model of the system (1) or (2). Thus if the actual dynamics differ from that or the control model, the open loop control is likely to be ineffective. However, if loop control is used, although this fits the model at least it takes into account the continuity of the actual state of the system. To develop the control, the real issue is to design controls so that the equilibrium point of an unstable system can be made relatively stable ([1], [4], [13], [14], [15], [16], [20]).

**Definition of Stability:** We say that the origin of a system (2) is stable if there exists  $a, D \in \epsilon(IR^m, IR^n)$  such that the system  $\dot{X} = Ax + BDx$  has a comparatively stable origin.

**Theorem (2.1):** if the system (2) is controllable then it is stable.

**Proof:** since the system (2) is controllable by using theorem (1.3) we find:

$$W(\epsilon) = \int_0^\epsilon e^{At} B B' e^{-At} dt, \quad \epsilon > 0 \text{ It is exactly positive and therefore exists } K > 0 \text{ such that}$$

$$W(\epsilon) \geq KI$$

Now considering the Lipunov function [1] and equation  $V = \langle x, P^1 x \rangle$  such that

$$P = \int_0^v (v-t) e^{-At} B B' e^{-At} dt, \text{ for } v > \epsilon \text{ So}$$

$$P > \int_0^v (v-t) e^{-At} B B' e^{-At} dt = (v-\epsilon)W(\epsilon) \geq (v-\epsilon)KI$$

Thus  $P^{-1}$  is defined complete now

$$\dot{v} = \langle x, (A'P^{-1} + P^{-1}A)x \rangle + \langle zx, P^{-1}Bu \rangle + \langle bu, P^{-1}x \rangle =$$

$$\langle y, (PA' + AP)y \rangle + \langle y, Bu \rangle + \langle Bu, y \rangle \text{ Such that } y = P^{-1}x \text{ but}$$

$$PA' + AP = \int_0^v (v-t)[e^{-At}BB'e^{-At}A' + e^{-At}BB'e^{-At}]dt = -\int_0^v (v-t) \frac{d}{dt}[e^{-At}BB'e^{-At}]dt =$$

$$-[(v-t)e^{-At}BB'e^{-At}]_0^v - \int_0^v e^{-At}BB'e^{-At}dt = vBB' - \int_0^v e^{-At}BB'e^{-At}dt \text{ Thus we find that}$$

$$\dot{V} = \|B'y\|^2 + \langle y, Bu \rangle + \langle Bu, y \rangle - \langle y, \int_0^v e^{-At}BB'e^{-At}ydt \rangle$$

Choose:

$$u = -vB'y$$

Such that

$$Dx = B'P^{-1}x$$

So

$$\dot{V} \leq -v\|B'y\|^2 - \langle y, W(\varepsilon)y \rangle \text{ and}$$

$\dot{V} \leq -v\|B'y\|^2 - \langle x, P^{-1}W(\varepsilon)P^{-1}x \rangle$  Now that  $P^{-1}$  and  $W(\varepsilon)$  clearly positive, so the origin point is stable and convergent [12].

### 3/ Observation:

For system (2) we have no further status or condition X so that the most important consideration is whether it is possible to recreate from the observations the state of the system. To pose this as a mathematical problem, let us assume that the system (2) is expanded by the equation

$$Y = CX \tag{6}$$

Such that  $C \in \xi(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^k)$ ,  $k \leq n$  suppose we can observe Y that given the equation (3)

$$x(t) = e^{At}x_0 + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)}Bu(s)ds \text{ We have}$$

$$y(t) = Ce^{At}x_0 + \int_0^t Ce^{A(t-s)}Bu(s)ds \text{ if we put}$$

$$\bar{y}(t) = y(t) - \int_0^t Ce^{A(t-s)}Bu(s)ds \text{ So we get:}$$

$$\bar{y}(t) = Ce^{A(t-s)} \text{ And observe that } y \in C^\infty[0, \infty, \mathbb{R}^k]$$

Now if we assume that u and y are given information at some interval  $(0, t_1]$ , we can ask whether this information is important for determining  $x_0$ . This leads us to the following definition:

#### Definition (3.1) observable Systems:

We say that the extended system (2) with the equation (6) is Monitoring and observable  $(0, t_1]$ , if it is given  $u \in L_\infty[0, t_1, \mathbb{R}^k]$  given y as an absolutely continuous function on  $(0, t_1]$ . Then it is possible to determine  $x_0$  a single property of the equation (7). This is equivalent to the problem of determining  $x_0$  from  $\bar{y} \in C^\infty[0, t_1, \mathbb{R}^k]$ .

Theorem (3.1): the strategic system governed by the two equations (2) and (6) is observable if and only if  $\text{rank} [C'', A', C'', \dots, (A')^{n-1}C''] = n$

**Proof:** It is clear that knowing  $\bar{y} \in (0, t_1)$  leads to a unique value for the variable  $x_0$  if and only if  $Ce^{At}x_0 = 0$  for  $0 \leq t \leq t_1$  then  $x_0 = 0$  put we seen in the proof of preface (1.1) this condition is equivalent to the following:

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ \vdots \\ CA^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = n \text{ or that } \text{rank} [C'', A', C'', \dots, (A')^{n-1}C''] = n \text{ We note that since}$$

$\bar{y} \in C^\infty[0, t_1, IR^k]$  Then we have  $\bar{y}(0) = Cx_0, \bar{y}'(0) = CAx_0$ , now  $\bar{y}$  and  $x_0$

Related by these equations, then  $\text{rank} [C'', A', C'', \dots, (A')^{n-1}C''] = n$ .

Then they are consistent and have a unique solution  $x_0$  in our model (2). The observations are likely to be disturbed by the turbulence, in which case the above analysis is necessary but not sufficient.

**Definition (3.2) Binary:**

We say that the Civilizational strategic system

$$S \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{X} = Ax + Bu \\ Y = Cx \end{array} \right\}$$

$$S^* \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{X} = \tilde{A}x + \tilde{B}u \\ Y = \tilde{C}x \end{array} \right\}$$

They are binaries if  $\tilde{A} = A', \tilde{B} = C'', \tilde{C} = B'$

Theorem (3.2): if the system S is observable then the rank of matrix  $[C'', A', C'', \dots, (A')^{n-1}C''] = n$  so  $\text{rank} [\tilde{B}, \tilde{A}\tilde{B}C'', \dots, (\tilde{A})^{n-1}\tilde{B}] = n$  which indicates that  $S^*$  it is observable.

Example (3.1): Consider the standard differential equation for the strategic system as:

$$\frac{d^n x}{dt^n} + a_n \frac{d^{n-1}x}{dt^{n-1}} + \dots + a_1 x = u, y = x$$

Then it is possible to convert this into a matrix differential equation in the same manner as the example (1.1) to obtain.

$$\dot{X} = Ax + Bu$$

$$y = C'x, \text{ such that } C^1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0); b^1 = (0, \dots, 1)$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -a_1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Then  $\text{rank} [C, A', \dots, (A')^{n-1}C] = n$  from theorem (3.2)  $\text{rank} [C, \tilde{A}, \dots, (\tilde{A})^{n-1}C] = n$

Therefore, the system is observable.

**CONCLUSION:**

The strategic dynamic civilization race system between nations (2) is controllable if the control space dimension equals  $n$ , and consequently, it is either stable or can be stabilized. Therefore, to understand the behavior of system (2), it is necessary to study the controllability and stability of the strategic civilization system, i.e., to design controls such that the equilibrium point of the unstable system can be made asymptotically stable. We conclude that all points in the space can be reached from the origin. Additionally, we deduce that not every system is controllable, and that the two strategic systems S and S\* are observable if they are duals of each other. Accordingly, system (2) and its expanded version defined by the equation  $y=Cx$  are observable if the rank of the controllability matrix equals the control space dimension.

Thus, the functional analysis approach is highly significant and very useful in analyzing and understanding the characteristics of the strategic civilization system (2).

## REFERENCES:

- [1] Ruth and Pritchard. F. curtain. A. J. Pritchard. "Functional Analysis In Modern Mathematics Control Theory Centre, University of Warwick. Coventry. U.K. Vol. 132. In Mathematics in science and Engineering A series of Monographs and Text Books, 1977.
- [2] Thomas and Shubik, thomas Quint and Martin Shuhik. "On local and Network Gaines". Cowles Foundation Discussion paper NC). 1414. Cowles Foundation For Research in Economics. Yale University, April 2003, pp 1-23, <http://cowlcs.econ.Yale-edu>.
- [3] Shubik. Martin. "Simulated Socio-Economic Systems" part General Considerations. General Systems. Vol. Xii, 1967, Cowles Foundation paper 267. pp 149-158.
- [4] Arnold and Kilemann, U. Arnold, W. Kilieman, "Qualitative Theory of Stochastic Systems" Report No. 36. March 1981, Reprint from A. T. Bharucha Reid (ed): Probabilistic Analysis and Related Topics, Vol.3, Academic Press. New York 1981.
- [5] Xie. Danyang Xie: "on Time Consistency: A technical Issue in stackellberg Differential Games". repec: Wpa: WWWpma:02 I 2004. file// A on time in consistency A technical issue in stakelberg Differential Games: Httm007/07/24, I Dec2002.
- [6] Shubik; Martin Shubik. "War gaming in the in formation Age", Theory and purpose (with P. Braken), Naval College Reviews. Liv. (2) Spring 2001.47-60.
- [7] \_ , Strategic War: What are the Questions and who should ask thcin7' (with P. Braken), Technology in Society. 4, 1982, 155-179. File://A:/MartinshubickDcfenceAnalysishttrn.ty H. mal 3. cal er
- [8] Satchell and Sarkar. J. S. Satchell and Sarban Sarkar. "Stochastic Shilnikov maps", Journal of Physics A: mathematical and General, Vol.20. NC). 6.21 April 1987- pp 1333-1334.
- [9] النعيمي والحمداني ، أ.د محمد عبد العال النعيمي ود. رفاه الحمداني ود. أحمد شهاب الحمداني، "مقدمة بحوث العمليات " دار وائل للنشر ، عمان الطبعة الأولى
- [10] Shubik and Weber, Martiin Shubik, Robert James Weber. "Systems Defence Games: Colonel Blotto, Command and Control", Cowles Foundation paper 521, Reprinted from Naval Research Logistic Quarterly. 28(2). 1981.281-287.
- [11] Shubik and Tulowitzki. Bracken, martin Shubik. "Nuclear war Fare, C3 I and First and Second strike senarics (A sensitivity Anlysis), Cowles Foundation. Paper No. 712. August 3, 1984, pp 1-31.
- [12] آدم عبد الله أبكر ، بحث دكتوراه - جامعة النيلين - كلية العلوم 2004 م .
- [13] Zeeman. E. C. Zeeman, mathematics Institute, University of Warwick Centry CV47AL. U.K. "Stability of Dynamical systems"; Nonlinearity". Vol.1, No.1 February I 988. The Institute ol Physics and the London Mathematical Society pp 115-155.
- [14] D'Ambrosio, U. D'Ambrosio, and V. Lakshmikan Than. University of Rhode Island, "On the Stability Differential In Equalities". Joseph Auslander, Walter H. (iottschalk, "Topogical Dynamics": An international symposium W. A. Benjamin. Inc. N. Y. 1968. pp 155-163.
- [15] Seihert, P. Seihert, -"A concept of stability in Dynamical Systems"; "Topological Dynamics", Joseph Auslander. Water 11. Gottschalk. 1.S, W. A. Benjamin Inc., N. Y. 1968, pp 423-433.
- [16] Reedy, J. N. Reedy, "Applied Functional Analysis and Variational Methods In Engineering, McGraw - Hil book Company International Editions New York, 1986. P 391.
- [17] آدم ، آدم عبد الله أبكر ، " نظرية المباريات السبير نتيكية " مجلة التصنيع - مركز المعلومات والتوثيق - هيئة التصنيع الحربي السودان، العدد (3) يونيو 2005م، ص 58-56
- [18] J. H. Milsum and F. A. Roberge, "Physiological Regulation and control"; pp. 2934, Foundations of Mathematical Biology, edited by Robert Rosen state university N. Y. at Buffalo. VO. III. Academic Press, 1973. N. Y. centre for theoretical Biology.
- [19] Address by His Excellency Dr. A. A. J. Abdulkalam President of the Republic of India, At Khartoum University, Khartoum. Wednesday, October 22, 2003, 30 Asvina, 1925 (Saka) p. 8.
- [20] Peter Nwoyeo. Mbaeyi, On the constructability of algebraic systems theory for in finite dimensional control dynamical systems"; progress in cybernetics and systems research. Vol. VI. Franz R P1 chi Cr and Robert Trappl. Hemisphere publishing corporation. McGraw Hill I international Book company. pp 347-355: 1982.