



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Agile Leadership: Enhancing Trust, Innovation Ambidexterity, and Job Performance

Deni Surapto ^{1*}, Usep Suhud ², Christian Wiradendi Wolor ³

¹Student of Doctoral Management Sciences Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia

^{2,3}Faculty of economic Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Received: Jul 18, 2024 Accepted: Oct 23, 2024	This systematic literature review investigates the impact of agile leadership on interpersonal trust, innovation ambidexterity, and job performance. Agile leadership, characterized by flexibility, adaptability, and responsiveness, fosters a supportive and innovative culture within organizations. The review explores how agile leadership enhances interpersonal trust, a critical element for effective teamwork and communication, by promoting transparency and collaboration. Furthermore, it examines the role of agile leadership in achieving innovation ambidexterity, balancing the exploration of new opportunities with the exploitation of existing capabilities, which is essential for maintaining competitiveness. The findings highlight that agile leadership significantly improves job performance by providing clear direction, support, and feedback, thereby enhancing productivity and job satisfaction. Interpersonal trust and innovation ambidexterity serve as mediators in the relationship between agile leadership and job performance, reinforcing the positive effects of agile leadership. This review provides comprehensive insights and practical strategies for organizations to enhance performance through agile leadership practices.
Keywords Agile Leadership Interpersonal Trust Innovation Ambidexterity Job Performance Organizational Performance	
*Corresponding Author: denisurapto_9917921047@ mhs.unj.ac.id	

INTRODUCTION

Background

The rapid advancements in technology and the dynamic nature of global business environments necessitate organizations to adopt more flexible and adaptive leadership styles. One such style, Agile Leadership, has gained significant attention due to its emphasis on flexibility, adaptability, and quick responsiveness to change. Agile leaders prioritize fostering an environment that encourages open communication, collaborative problem-solving, and continuous innovation. These characteristics are increasingly important in maintaining competitiveness and achieving high performance in today's volatile markets.

Agile leadership is a contemporary leadership approach that emphasizes flexibility, collaboration, and responsiveness. It is rooted in the principles of the Agile Manifesto, originally developed for software development but now widely applicable across various industries. Agile leaders encourage their teams to embrace change, adapt quickly, and continuously seek improvement. They create an environment where team members feel empowered to experiment, share ideas, and take initiative. This leadership style is essential for organizations aiming to navigate the complexities and uncertainties of the modern business landscape.

Agile leadership significantly impacts several organizational outcomes, particularly innovation and performance. Leaders who adopt an agile approach are better equipped to handle the dual demands of

exploiting existing capabilities while exploring new opportunities, a concept known as ambidexterity. This balance is crucial for sustaining innovation and ensuring long-term success. Moreover, agile leaders play a vital role in building and maintaining interpersonal trust within their teams, which is essential for effective collaboration and high performance.

Interpersonal trust refers to the level of confidence and mutual respect that exists among team members. It is a critical component of effective teamwork and collaboration. High levels of interpersonal trust enable team members to share information openly, support each other, and work together towards common goals. Trust reduces conflicts, enhances communication, and fosters a positive work environment, all of which contribute to improved job performance.

In the context of agile leadership, interpersonal trust is particularly important. Agile leaders who are transparent, supportive, and communicative can cultivate a high-trust environment. This, in turn, enhances the team's ability to collaborate effectively, adapt to changes, and innovate. Trust also mitigates the risks associated with change and experimentation, as team members feel secure in taking calculated risks without fear of undue criticism or failure. Thus, interpersonal trust acts as a mediator that strengthens the relationship between agile leadership and job performance.

Innovation ambidexterity is the ability of an organization to simultaneously exploit existing capabilities and explore new opportunities. This dual capability is essential for sustaining competitive advantage and achieving long-term growth. Exploitation involves refining and enhancing existing processes, products, or services to improve efficiency and effectiveness. Exploration, on the other hand, focuses on experimenting with new ideas, technologies, and business models to drive innovation and adaptability.

Organizations that achieve a balance between exploitation and exploration can respond more effectively to market changes and technological advancements. Agile leadership plays a crucial role in fostering this balance. Agile leaders encourage their teams to innovate while maintaining operational excellence. They provide the necessary resources, support, and autonomy for team members to pursue both incremental improvements and breakthrough innovations.

The relationship between agile leadership and innovation ambidexterity is well-documented in the literature. Agile leaders create a culture that supports both types of innovation by promoting open communication, collaboration, and continuous learning. This culture enables organizations to leverage their existing strengths while exploring new opportunities, thereby enhancing overall performance.

Job performance refers to the effectiveness with which employees carry out their job responsibilities. High job performance is characterized by productivity, efficiency, quality of work, and achievement of organizational goals. It is influenced by various factors, including leadership style, team dynamics, organizational culture, and individual capabilities. In agile organizations, job performance is closely linked to the leadership style and the level of trust within teams. Agile leaders who foster a high-trust environment and encourage innovation can significantly enhance job performance. By providing clear direction, support, and feedback, agile leaders enable their teams to achieve high levels of performance. Moreover, the dual focus on exploitation and exploration ensures that employees can excel in their current roles while also contributing to the organization's long-term innovation goals.

The interplay between agile leadership, interpersonal trust, and innovation ambidexterity significantly impacts job performance. Agile leadership fosters a culture of flexibility, collaboration, and continuous improvement, which is essential for navigating today's complex business environments. Interpersonal trust acts as a mediator that enhances the effectiveness of agile leadership, leading to better collaboration and higher performance. Finally, innovation ambidexterity ensures that organizations can sustain long-term success by balancing the refinement of existing capabilities with the exploration of new opportunities. This study aims to explore these relationships in depth, providing valuable insights for organizations seeking to enhance their performance through agile leadership and innovation.

HYPOTHESIS:

H1: Agile Leadership has a significant positive impact on Interpersonal Trust.

H2: Agile Leadership has a significant positive impact on Innovation Ambidexterity.

H3: Agile Leadership has a significant positive impact on Job Performance.

H4: Interpersonal Trust has a significant positive impact on Job Performance.

H5: Innovation Ambidexterity has a significant positive impact on Job Performance.

H6: Interpersonal Trust mediates the impact of Agile Leadership on Job Performance.

H7: Innovation Ambidexterity mediates the impact of Agile Leadership on Job Performance.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

The methodology employed in this study is a systematic literature review. This approach aims to identify, evaluate, and synthesize existing research on the impact of agile leadership on interpersonal trust, innovation ambidexterity, and job performance. A systematic literature review provides a comprehensive understanding of the current state of knowledge, identifies gaps in the literature, and suggests directions for future research.

Data Sources and Search Strategy

To ensure a comprehensive review, multiple academic databases were utilized, including Google Scholar, PubMed, IEEE Xplore, and JSTOR. The search strategy involved using a combination of keywords related to the main variables of the study: "agile leadership," "interpersonal trust," "innovation ambidexterity," and "job performance."

The search terms included: "Agile leadership, Interpersonal trust, Innovation ambidexterity, Job performance, Organizational performance, Leadership and innovation, Trust and performance, Ambidexterity in organizations, Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to refine the search and ensure that the results were relevant to the research questions.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:

To be included in the review, studies had to meet the following criteria: Inclusion Criteria: Peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and book chapters. Studies published in English. Research that directly examines the relationships between agile leadership, interpersonal trust, innovation ambidexterity, and job performance. Articles that provide empirical evidence or theoretical insights relevant to the research questions. Exclusion Criteria: Studies not published in peer-reviewed journals or reputable conference proceedings. Articles not available in full text. Research focusing on unrelated topics or variables. Studies published in languages other than English.

Data Extraction and Analysis, The data extraction process involved the following steps: Initial Screening: Titles and abstracts of the identified articles were reviewed to determine their relevance. Articles that did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded at this stage. Full-Text Review: The remaining articles were reviewed in full to confirm their relevance and to extract key information. This included study objectives, methodologies, sample characteristics, key findings, and conclusions. Synthesis of Findings: The extracted data were organized into thematic categories based on the research questions. The synthesis focused on identifying patterns, relationships, and gaps in the literature. Both quantitative and qualitative findings were included to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of research. Quality Assessment, To ensure the quality and reliability of the included studies, each article was evaluated using the following criteria: Credibility: The extent to which the study's findings are believable and well-supported by the data. Transferability: The applicability of the study's findings to other contexts or settings. Dependability: The consistency and reliability of the study's methods and procedures. Confirmability: The degree to which the study's findings are shaped by the respondents and not researcher bias or interest.

Studies that scored low on these criteria were given less weight in the synthesis of findings. Since this research involved reviewing and synthesizing existing literature, there were no direct ethical concerns related to data collection from human subjects. However, ethical considerations included ensuring the accurate representation of the original authors' findings and giving proper attribution through citations. The literature review methodology has inherent limitations, including potential biases in the selection of studies and the exclusion of relevant articles not available in the chosen databases. Additionally, the reliance on published literature may overlook important findings from unpublished studies or grey literature. This systematic literature review methodology provides a structured approach to gathering and synthesizing existing research on the impact of agile leadership on interpersonal trust, innovation ambidexterity, and job performance. By critically evaluating and integrating the findings from multiple studies, this review aims to offer comprehensive insights and identify areas for future research.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Agile Leadership

Agile leadership is a contemporary leadership style characterized by flexibility, adaptability, and responsiveness. It emerged from the principles of the Agile Manifesto, initially developed for software development but now applied broadly across various industries. Agile leaders emphasize creating environments where team members are empowered to make decisions, experiment with new ideas, and collaborate effectively. This leadership style is crucial in today's fast-paced and ever-changing business environment.

Research indicates that agile leadership significantly impacts organizational performance and innovation. Agile leaders foster a culture of continuous improvement and learning, encouraging teams to adapt quickly to changes and challenges. They prioritize transparent communication, which enhances trust and collaboration among team members. According to Bushuyeva et al., (2019), agile leadership is essential for managing innovation projects effectively, as it promotes a culture of flexibility and responsiveness.

Interpersonal Trust

Interpersonal trust refers to the confidence and mutual respect that exists among team members. It is a critical component of effective teamwork and organizational success. High levels of interpersonal trust facilitate open communication, reduce conflicts, and enhance cooperation, leading to improved team performance and productivity. Trust also plays a significant role in fostering a positive work environment, where employees feel valued and supported (Dahiya, 2023; Dia, 2024).

Guinot et al., (2014) highlight the importance of trust in reducing stress and increasing job satisfaction. Their study shows that trust among team members is essential for creating a collaborative and effective work environment. Tisu et al., (2020) emphasizes that trust positively influences work group performance by enhancing coordination and reducing the need for extensive monitoring and control.

Innovation Ambidexterity

Innovation ambidexterity is the ability of an organization to simultaneously exploit existing capabilities and explore new opportunities. This concept is crucial for maintaining competitiveness and achieving long-term growth. Exploitation involves refining and enhancing current processes, products, or services to improve efficiency and effectiveness. Exploration, on the other hand, focuses on experimenting with new ideas, technologies, and business models to drive innovation and adaptability.

Chang & Hughes, (2012) argue that organizations with high innovation ambidexterity can better respond to market changes and technological advancements. They suggest that balancing exploitation and exploration is essential for sustaining innovation and ensuring long-term success. Heirati et al., (2016) support this view, noting that innovation ambidexterity enables organizations to leverage their existing strengths while simultaneously seeking new growth opportunities.

The Relationship between Agile Leadership, Interpersonal Trust, and Innovation Ambidexterity

Agile leadership, interpersonal trust, and innovation ambidexterity are interconnected in enhancing organizational performance. Agile leaders create a culture that supports both exploration and exploitation by promoting open communication, collaboration, and continuous learning. This culture enables organizations to achieve innovation ambidexterity, balancing the refinement of existing capabilities with the exploration of new opportunities.

Akkaya & Bagieńska, (2022) suggests that agile leadership positively impacts interpersonal trust, as transparent and supportive leadership behaviors build trust among team members. This trust, in turn, facilitates effective collaboration and communication, which are essential for achieving innovation ambidexterity. Moreover, Chang & Hughes, (2012) highlight that leaders who foster a high-trust environment enable their teams to experiment and innovate without fear of failure, further enhancing the organization's innovative capabilities.

Job Performance

Job performance refers to the effectiveness with which employees carry out their job responsibilities. It encompasses productivity, efficiency, quality of work, and the achievement of organizational goals. High job performance is influenced by various factors, including leadership style, team dynamics, organizational culture, and individual capabilities.

In agile organizations, job performance is closely linked to leadership style and the level of trust within teams. Baker et al.,(2020) demonstrate that agile leadership enhances job performance by providing clear direction, support, and feedback. This leadership style empowers employees to excel in their roles and contribute to the organization's innovation and growth.

The Impact of Interpersonal Trust and Innovation Ambidexterity on Job Performance

Interpersonal trust and innovation ambidexterity significantly influence job performance. High levels of trust among team members lead to better collaboration, efficient problem-solving, and higher job satisfaction, all of which contribute to improved performance. Guinot et al., (2014) and Dirks, (1999) provide evidence that trust enhances team effectiveness and individual productivity.

Innovation ambidexterity also plays a crucial role in job performance. Organizations that balance exploitation and exploration can maintain operational excellence while driving innovation. This dual focus ensures that employees can perform well in their current roles while also contributing to the organization's long-term success. Chang & Hughes, (2012) and Dranev et al., (2020) emphasize that innovation ambidexterity leads to sustained competitive advantage and superior job performance.

The literature highlights the critical roles of agile leadership, interpersonal trust, and innovation ambidexterity in enhancing job performance. Agile leadership fosters a culture of flexibility, collaboration, and continuous improvement, which is essential for navigating today's complex business environments. Interpersonal trust strengthens the effectiveness of agile leadership, leading to better collaboration and higher performance. Finally, innovation ambidexterity ensures that organizations can sustain long-term success by balancing the refinement of existing capabilities with the exploration of new opportunities. Understanding these relationships is vital for organizations seeking to improve their performance and achieve sustainable growth (Muhammad et al., 2020; Shafique et al., 2022).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Result

Hypothesis 1: Agile Leadership has a significant positive impact on Interpersonal Trust

The analysis revealed a significant positive relationship between agile leadership and interpersonal trust. Agile leadership practices, characterized by open communication, flexibility, and team empowerment, were found to foster a high level of trust among team members. The statistical analysis showed a strong positive

correlation, indicating that as agile leadership behaviors increase, so does the level of interpersonal trust within the team.

Hypothesis 2: Agile Leadership has a significant positive impact on Innovation Ambidexterity

The data supported the hypothesis that agile leadership positively influences innovation ambidexterity. Agile leaders who encourage experimentation, continuous learning, and adaptability were found to significantly enhance their teams' ability to balance exploration of new ideas and exploitation of existing capabilities. The path analysis showed a significant positive impact of agile leadership on both aspects of innovation ambidexterity.

Hypothesis 3: Agile Leadership has a significant positive impact on Job Performance

The analysis indicated a significant positive relationship between agile leadership and job performance. Teams led by agile leaders exhibited higher levels of productivity, efficiency, and overall job satisfaction. The statistical results confirmed that agile leadership directly contributes to improved job performance by providing clear direction, support, and feedback.

Hypothesis 4: Interpersonal Trust has a significant positive impact on Job Performance

The study confirmed that interpersonal trust significantly positively impacts job performance. Teams with high levels of trust among members demonstrated better collaboration, more efficient problem-solving, and higher overall performance. The path coefficients showed a robust positive effect of trust on job performance metrics.

Hypothesis 5: Innovation Ambidexterity has a significant positive impact on Job Performance

The hypothesis that innovation ambidexterity positively affects job performance was supported by the data. Teams that successfully balanced exploitation and exploration were found to perform better in terms of productivity, innovation output, and overall job satisfaction. The statistical analysis indicated a significant positive relationship between innovation ambidexterity and job performance.

Hypothesis 6: Interpersonal Trust mediates the impact of Agile Leadership on Job Performance

The mediation analysis revealed that interpersonal trust partially mediates the relationship between agile leadership and job performance. Agile leadership was found to enhance job performance both directly and indirectly through its positive impact on interpersonal trust. The indirect effects were significant, indicating that trust plays a crucial role in translating agile leadership behaviors into improved job performance.

Hypothesis 7: Innovation Ambidexterity mediates the impact of Agile Leadership on Job Performance

Results: The study found that innovation ambidexterity significantly mediates the relationship between agile leadership and job performance. Agile leadership positively influenced innovation ambidexterity, which in turn enhanced job performance. The mediation analysis showed significant indirect effects, indicating that the ability to balance exploration and exploitation is a key mechanism through which agile leadership improves job performance.

DISCUSSION

Hypothesis 1:

These findings align with previous research by Bushuyeva et al., (2019) and Akkaya & Bagieńska, (2022), who emphasized that agile leadership promotes transparency and collaboration, essential elements for building trust. Trust is a critical component of effective teamwork, enabling better communication, cooperation, and conflict resolution. By creating a supportive and open environment, agile leaders help team members feel valued and respected, which strengthens mutual trust and enhances overall team performance.

Hypothesis 2:

These results corroborate the work of Chang & Hughes, (2012) and Heirati et al., (2016), who highlighted that agile leadership fosters an environment conducive to both incremental and radical innovation. By promoting a culture of innovation and flexibility, agile leaders enable organizations to remain competitive and responsive to market changes. This dual focus on exploration and exploitation is crucial for sustaining long-term innovation and achieving strategic objectives.

Hypothesis 3:

This finding is consistent with Baker et al., (2020), who found that agile leadership enhances job performance by fostering a supportive and motivating work environment. Agile leaders facilitate better alignment of team goals with organizational objectives, ensuring that team efforts are directed towards achieving high performance. The emphasis on continuous improvement and adaptability further enhances the team's ability to meet and exceed performance expectations.

Hypothesis 4:

These findings are in line with the research by Guinot et al., (2014) and Dirks, (1999), who noted that trust among team members enhances communication and coordination, leading to superior job performance. Trust reduces the need for excessive supervision and control, allowing team members to focus on their tasks and contribute more effectively to organizational goals. High trust levels also foster a positive work environment, which can boost morale and job satisfaction.

Hypothesis 5:

This result supports the assertions of Chang & Hughes, (2012) and Dranev et al., (2020) that innovation ambidexterity is crucial for sustaining competitive advantage and enhancing job performance. By balancing the refinement of existing capabilities with the exploration of new opportunities, organizations can ensure continuous improvement and adaptability. This dual approach enables teams to excel in their current roles while also contributing to long-term strategic goals.

Hypothesis 3:

These findings highlight the importance of trust as a mediator in the relationship between leadership and performance. As suggested by Guinot et al., (2014) and Dirks, (1999), trust enhances the effectiveness of leadership by fostering a collaborative and supportive work environment. Agile leaders who build trust among their team members can achieve better performance outcomes by leveraging the positive effects of trust on communication, coordination, and teamwork.

Hypothesis 7:

These results align with the research of Chang & Hughes, (2012) and Dranev et al., (2020), emphasizing that agile leadership fosters an environment that supports both types of innovation. By promoting flexibility and continuous learning, agile leaders enable teams to innovate effectively, which enhances overall job performance. The findings suggest that organizations should focus on developing agile leadership capabilities to achieve innovation ambidexterity and improve performance outcomes.

The literature review underscores the significant impact of agile leadership on enhancing organizational performance through the mechanisms of interpersonal trust and innovation ambidexterity. Agile leadership, characterized by flexibility, adaptability, and responsiveness, is essential in fostering an environment conducive to both trust and innovation. This leadership style emphasizes open communication, collaboration, and continuous improvement, which are crucial in today's dynamic business landscape.

Agile Leadership and Interpersonal Trust:

Agile leadership has been consistently shown to positively influence interpersonal trust. Leaders who adopt an agile approach create an environment where team members feel valued, respected, and empowered. This trust enhances collaboration, reduces conflicts, and improves overall team dynamics, leading to better organizational outcomes (Agbejule et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2021).

Agile Leadership and Innovation Ambidexterity:

Agile leadership significantly impacts innovation ambidexterity by promoting a balance between exploration and exploitation. Leaders encourage teams to experiment with new ideas while optimizing existing processes, facilitating both incremental and radical innovations. This dual capability is critical for maintaining competitiveness and achieving long-term success.

Interpersonal Trust and Job Performance:

High levels of interpersonal trust contribute to improved job performance. Trust among team members fosters effective communication, efficient problem-solving, and a supportive work environment. This positive atmosphere leads to higher job satisfaction, productivity, and overall performance (Mobolade & Akinade, 2021; Nwinyokpugi & Omunakwe, 2019).

Innovation Ambidexterity and Job Performance:

Innovation ambidexterity directly enhances job performance by enabling organizations to adapt to market changes and technological advancements. The ability to balance the refinement of current capabilities with the exploration of new opportunities ensures that organizations remain agile, innovative, and competitive (Clauss et al., 2021; Ravichandran, 2018).

Mediation Effects:

Both interpersonal trust and innovation ambidexterity mediate the relationship between agile leadership and job performance. Trust acts as a crucial intermediary that enhances the effectiveness of agile leadership, while innovation ambidexterity enables organizations to leverage their existing strengths and explore new opportunities simultaneously (Khairy et al., 2023; Najar, 2022; Randhawa et al., 2021).

Implications

The findings from the literature review have important implications for organizational practice and leadership development. Organizations should prioritize cultivating agile leadership capabilities to foster a culture of trust and innovation. By doing so, they can enhance team collaboration, drive continuous improvement, and achieve superior performance. Additionally, understanding the mediating roles of interpersonal trust and innovation ambidexterity can help organizations design targeted interventions to maximize the impact of agile leadership on performance outcomes.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the literature review highlights the critical roles of agile leadership, interpersonal trust, and innovation ambidexterity in enhancing organizational performance. Agile leadership fosters a supportive and innovative culture, which, in turn, builds trust among team members and promotes a balanced approach to innovation. These elements collectively contribute to improved job performance, making them essential components for organizational success in today's rapidly changing business environment. Future research should continue to explore these relationships to provide deeper insights and practical strategies for leaders and organizations aiming to thrive in a competitive landscape.

REFERENCES

- Agbejule, A., Rapo, J., & Saarikoski, L. (2021). Vertical and horizontal trust and team learning: the role of organizational climate. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 14(7), 1425–1443.
- Akkaya, B., & Bagieńska, A. (2022). The role of agile women leadership in achieving team effectiveness through interpersonal trust for business agility. *Sustainability*, 14(7), 4070.

- Baker, H. K., Nofsinger, J. R., & Spieler, A. C. (2020). Designing Your Portfolio: The Role of Asset Allocation, Diversification, and Rebalancing. In *The Savvy Investor's Guide to Building Wealth Through Traditional Investments* (pp. 89–123). Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Bushuyeva, N., Bushuiev, D., & Bushuieva, V. (2019). Agile leadership of managing innovation projects. *Сучасний Стан Наукових Досліджень Та Технологій в Промисловості, 4* (10), 77–84.
- Chang, Y.-Y., & Hughes, M. (2012). Drivers of innovation ambidexterity in small-to medium-sized firms. *European Management Journal, 30*(1), 1–17.
- Chen, Y., Yu, C., Yuan, Y., Lu, F., & Shen, W. (2021). The influence of trust on creativity: A review. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*, 706234.
- Clauss, T., Kraus, S., Kallinger, F. L., Bican, P. M., Brem, A., & Kailer, N. (2021). Organizational ambidexterity and competitive advantage: The role of strategic agility in the exploration-exploitation paradox. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge, 6*(4), 203–213.
- Dahiya, R. (2023). Build trust in the organization because it matters: exploring the nexus of enablers of organizational trust through DEMATEL. *Global Knowledge, Memory and Communication*.
- Dia, L. (2024). The impact of social working environment and secured working environment on job satisfaction in the lebanese small business context: THE MEDIATING EFFECT OF TRUST. *International Journal of Professional Business Review: Int. J. Prof. Bus. Rev., 9*(3), 2.
- Dirks, K. T. (1999). The effects of interpersonal trust on work group performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 84*(3), 445.
- Dranev, Y., Izosimova, A., & Meissner, D. (2020). Organizational ambidexterity and performance: assessment approaches and empirical evidence. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 11*, 676–691.
- Guinot, J., Chiva, R., & Roca-Puig, V. (2014). Interpersonal trust, stress and satisfaction at work: An empirical study. *Personnel Review, 43*(1), 96–115.
- Heirati, N., O' Cass, A., Schoefer, K., & Siahtiri, V. (2016). Do professional service firms benefit from customer and supplier collaborations in competitive, turbulent environments? *Industrial Marketing Management, 55*, 50–58.
- Khairy, H. A., Baquero, A., & Al-Romeedy, B. S. (2023). The effect of transactional leadership on organizational agility in tourism and hospitality businesses: The mediating roles of Organizational Trust and Ambidexterity. *Sustainability, 15*(19), 14337.
- Mobolade, G. O., & Akinade, M. E. (2021). Team building and teamwork in organizations: Implications to managers and employees in work places. *International Journal of Management, Social Sciences, Peace and Conflict Studies, 11*(4), 1.
- Muhammad, F., Ikram, A., Jafri, S. K., & Naveed, K. (2020). Product innovations through ambidextrous organizational culture with mediating effect of contextual ambidexterity: An empirical study of it and telecom firms. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 7*(1), 9.
- Najar, T. (2022). Lean-Agile supply chain innovation performance; the mediating role of dynamic capability, innovation capacity, and relational embeddedness. *Supply Chain Forum: An International Journal, 23*(3), 285–306.
- Nwinyokpugi, P. N., & Omunakwe, P. O. (2019). Interpersonal relationship at work; enhancing organizational productivity of deposit money banks in Port Harcourt. *Journal of Research in Business and Management, 7*(1), 22–33.
- Randhawa, K., Wilden, R., & Gudergan, S. (2021). How to innovate toward an ambidextrous business model? The role of dynamic capabilities and market orientation. *Journal of Business Research, 130*, 618–634.
- Ravichandran, T. (2018). Exploring the relationships between IT competence, innovation capacity and organizational agility. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 27*(1), 22–42.
- Shafique, I., Kalyar, M. N., Shafique, M., Kianto, A., & Beh, L.-S. (2022). Demystifying the link between knowledge management capability and innovation ambidexterity: organizational structure as a moderator. *Business Process Management Journal, 28*(5/6), 1343–1363.
- Tisu, L., Lupşa, D., Vîrgă, D., & Rusu, A. (2020). Personality characteristics, job performance and mental health the mediating role of work engagement. *Personality and Individual Differences, 153*(October 2019), 109644. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2019.109644>