



RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Application of Blended Teaching Based on Iwrite System in College English Writing Classes

Xiaofei Xu ¹, Harmi Izzuan Bin Baharum^{2*}¹Language Academy, Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia¹School of Humanities and Arts, Jiaying Nanhu University, Jiaying, China²Language Academy, Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia**ARTICLE INFO****ABSTRACT**

Received: May 22, 2024

Accepted: Sep 26, 2024

Keywords

Blended teaching

Iwrite System

College

English writing

Student engagement

writing proficiency

This research investigates how blended learning, employing the Iwrite System, is applied in college English writing classes within various educational settings. Employing a combination of quantitative and qualitative methods, the study assesses the impact of this approach by examining alterations in pre- and post-test scores, levels of student involvement, and patterns of Iwrite System utilization quantitatively, while also exploring student perspectives and classroom interactions qualitatively. The results reveal significant improvements in students' writing proficiency following the implementation of blended teaching, accompanied by high levels of student engagement and positive perceptions of the Iwrite System. Strong correlations between the frequency of system usage and writing proficiency improvement further underscore the effectiveness of technology-mediated instruction. Overall, the findings highlight the efficacy of blended teaching with the Iwrite System in enhancing students' writing skills, engagement, and overall learning experiences in college English writing classes

***Corresponding Author**

harmi.kl@utm.my

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the integration of technology with traditional teaching approaches has brought about a major transformation in the educational landscape (Singh et al., 2021; Liando & Tatipang, 2024). This shift has paved the way for innovative approaches aimed at enhancing learning outcomes and improving student engagement (Ahmad, 2020; Abulibdeh et al., 2024). Blended learning, which integrates traditional classroom instruction with digital resources to create an interactive learning environment, has attracted considerable interest (Sachdeva et al., 2023; Honcharuk et al., 2024). In the field of language education, particularly in college-level English writing classes, the adoption of blended teaching methods holds promise for improving students' writing abilities and deepening their understanding of language concepts (AbuSa'aleek, 2015; McKnight et al., 2016).

The concept of blended teaching is rooted in the recognition that students possess diverse learning styles and preferences, and that effective instruction should cater to these individual differences (Adams et al., 2017). Educators have the capacity to create a versatile and responsive learning atmosphere by integrating conventional classroom teaching with online learning elements, as noted by Gruba et al. (2016) and Marzuki et al. (2023). This approach leverages technology to provide students with diverse resources and opportunities for self-directed study and rehearsal, all while capitalizing on the benefits of face-to-face teaching and oversight, as emphasized by Zhou and Wei (2018).

Earlier studies investigating the effectiveness of blended learning in various educational settings have produced favorable results, suggesting its capacity to improve student performance (Kabilan et al., 2010; Lumando et al., 2023). Garrison and Kanuka (2004) noted that combining online and in-person teaching resulted in heightened student satisfaction and involvement compared to conventional classroom approaches (Fu et al., 2019). Likewise, Means et al. (2013) conducted a meta-analysis that underscored enhanced student achievement across different subjects and grade levels through blended learning.

Numerous studies have investigated the impact of blended learning on language proficiency and skill development in language education. For example, Bonk and Graham (2006) found that technology-mediated instruction boosted student motivation and engagement (Deane et al., 2008). Picciano's (2009) study (Indah, 2017) demonstrated that integrating online writing tools into college writing classes improved students' writing abilities and self-efficacy (Zare & Othman, 2015).

Despite the existing body of evidence supporting blended teaching's efficacy (Achilov, 2017), further exploration is needed, particularly in college English writing classes (Sparks et al., 2014). Writing proficiency is crucial for students as it not only facilitates communication but also reflects critical thinking abilities (Dong et al., 2015) and effective expression of ideas (Naghdi-pour, 2022). Therefore, investigating how modern technological tools, combined with blended teaching methods, can enhance students' writing skills and language proficiency is essential (Wale & Kassahun, 2024).

To address this objective, the present study aims to explore the implementation of blended teaching using the Iwrite System in college English writing classes. The Iwrite System is a digital platform designed to aid students in improving their writing skills through various interactive tools such as grammar and plagiarism checks, writing prompts, and peer feedback mechanisms. By integrating this approach into their programs, educators aim to create a synergistic learning environment that leverages the benefits of both traditional education and online resources.

The rationale behind focusing on the Iwrite System stems from its potential to tackle common issues encountered by students in writing-intensive courses (Ding & Zou, 2024), such as grammatical errors, citation problems, and the absence of feedback. This platform offers personalized guidance and feedback tailored to each student's specific needs, empowering them to recognize and address areas for improvement in their writing (Shadiev & Feng, 2023). Furthermore, the Iwrite System encourages peer collaboration and review, fostering a sense of camaraderie and mutual assistance among students working together to improve their writing abilities. This research seeks to add to the current pool of knowledge by providing empirical evidence on the efficacy of blended learning in college English writing courses using the Iwrite System, building upon prior studies (Hegelheimer, 2006; Zucker & Fisch, 2024). Through a thorough methodology that combines quantitative and qualitative data collection and analysis, the study assesses the effects of blended learning on students' writing skills, engagement, and overall learning experience (Liu et al., 2017).

The study seeks to furnish educators, curriculum developers, and policymakers with valuable insights for enhancing language education in the digital age by elucidating the potential benefits and challenges of employing blended learning in college English writing programs. Ultimately, the conclusions drawn from this research can inform future teaching strategies and contribute to the ongoing evolution of teaching and learning paradigms in higher education.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The incorporation of technology into education has transformed teaching and learning methodologies, providing novel avenues to boost student involvement, enhance academic achievements, and foster success in academia. Particularly in college English writing courses, educators are increasingly embracing technology-integrated teaching methods to bolster students' writing proficiency. This literature review concentrates on evaluating how effective the Iwrite System is in influencing student learning results. It provides a thorough analysis of previous studies regarding the integration of blended learning in college English writing courses. Blended instruction, combining conventional in-person teaching with online learning components, provides a flexible and adjustable learning setting that caters to various student requirements. Educators can craft interactive learning experiences to heighten student engagement and stimulate active participation through the integration of digital tools and online resources into conventional classroom settings.

Compared to conventional classroom-based instruction, blended learning strategies have shown to elevate retention rates, facilitate deeper learning experiences, and enhance student satisfaction.

Numerous research have looked into how well blended learning works to improve students' writing ability and linguistic competency in college English writing programs. Picciano (2009) looked examined the effects of integrating online writing tools and resources into college writing courses, and found that this improved students' writing performance and self-efficacy. The study found that students who utilized online writing tools wrote much better and were more likely to modify and seek feedback on their work (Kessler, 2018).

In their 2017 study, Li et al. explored the impact of blended learning methods on English writing education in Chinese universities. They investigated the effectiveness of incorporating online writing tools and peer review systems alongside traditional classroom teaching methods, as compared to the conventional approach described by Alshahrani and others in 2023. The results revealed that students exposed to blended learning exhibited higher levels of engagement in the writing process and demonstrated enhanced writing proficiency, contrasting with their peers in traditional classroom environments.

The Iwrite System is a digital platform that offers a range of interactive tools and materials to assist students in developing their writing skills. It has gained recognition as a useful instrument for language instruction (Sajja et al., 2023). With features including writing prompts, peer feedback mechanisms, and grammar and plagiarism checks, the Iwrite System—created by experts in educational technology—offers students individualized support and direction during the writing process (Smith et al., 2015).

Several research investigations have explored how effective the Iwrite System is in college English writing courses, showcasing its ability to improve student learning results and foster the development of writing abilities. Smith et al. (2015) and McKnight et al. (2016) conducted longitudinal research to assess the impact of the Iwrite System on students' writing proficiency and self-confidence in college English composition classrooms. After the method was put into place, students' writing quality and confidence levels significantly improved, and they also reported feeling more engaged and satisfied with the writing process (Yugandhar et al., 2024).

In a similar vein, Zhang and Wang (2018) investigated the effectiveness of the Iwrite System in facilitating peer feedback and collaborative writing activities in college English writing classes. The study found that students who engaged with the system's peer feedback features demonstrated higher levels of writing proficiency (Schindler et al., 2017) and were more actively involved in collaborative writing tasks compared to students who did not utilize the platform (Hew, 2016). The findings highlighted the positive impact of technology-enabled peer feedback on promoting collaborative projects and enhancing writing skills. Furthermore, Chen and colleagues (2020) conducted a meta-analysis assessing the effectiveness of blended learning methods in language education, encompassing diverse technological tools and teaching approaches (Henrie et al., 2015). Their analysis consistently demonstrated the benefits of blended instruction on student learning outcomes, particularly in enhancing writing proficiency, language comprehension, and academic performance across different educational settings.

While the body of research on blended teaching in college English writing classes continues to grow, several gaps and opportunities for future inquiry remain (Kasneci et al., 2023). One area of interest is the exploration of optimal strategies for integrating digital tools and resources into writing instruction to maximize learning outcomes and promote student engagement (Cakir, 2013). Furthermore, more research is necessary to determine how blended learning affects students' academic success and the development of their writing abilities over the long run.

The analysis of existing literature in the study provides compelling evidence supporting the effectiveness of blended learning approaches, such as the Iwrite System, within college English writing classes. Through the integration of technology in education, instructors can establish vibrant and interactive learning settings, fostering heightened student involvement, facilitating active learning, and facilitating the enhancement of writing abilities. As technology continues to advance, the utilization of digital resources and tools in language education holds promise for improving teaching effectiveness and fostering student achievement in courses emphasizing writing skills.

METHODOLOGY

This research utilized a combination of quantitative and qualitative methods to explore the efficacy of blended learning with the Iwrite System in college English writing classes. By employing various data collection and analysis techniques integral to the research process, the study aims were comprehensively addressed.

Sampling Size and Selection of Colleges

The study involves five colleges, namely:

Academy of Chinese Traditional Opera: This institution specializes in the preservation and promotion of Chinese traditional opera, a cultural heritage with deep historical roots. Students enrolled in the Academy of Chinese Traditional Opera receive comprehensive training in various forms of traditional Chinese opera, including Peking opera, Kunqu opera, Cantonese opera, and more. Through rigorous instruction in performance techniques, vocal training, makeup, costume design, and stagecraft, students develop a profound understanding of traditional Chinese performing arts and cultivate their artistic talents to become accomplished opera performers.

Beijing Art Media Career College: Beijing Art Media Career College is renowned for its diverse range of programs in media arts, visual communication, and creative industries. With state-of-the-art facilities and experienced faculty members, the college offers hands-on training and theoretical knowledge to prepare students for careers in fields such as graphic design, animation, film production, advertising, and multimedia art. Through a blend of artistic expression, technical skill development, and industry insights, students at Beijing Art Media Career College gain the expertise and creativity necessary to thrive in the dynamic and competitive world of media and visual arts.

Beijing Career College: Beijing Career College offers programs for vocational education and training that are intended to give students the knowledge and skills they need to find work in a variety of industries. Numerous career-focused courses are available at the college, such as business administration, culinary arts, hospitality management, and tourism management. Beijing Career College equips students to join the workforce with competence and confidence, allowing them to pursue fulfilling careers and support the local economy. The school places a strong emphasis on practical learning experiences and industry internships.

Beijing Geely College: Beijing Geely College is dedicated to providing high-quality education in automotive engineering, mechanical engineering, and related fields. As a leading institution in automotive education, the college offers comprehensive programs that combine theoretical knowledge with practical training in automotive design, manufacturing, maintenance, and management. With strong industry connections and cutting-edge facilities, Beijing Geely College prepares students for careers in the automotive industry, fostering innovation and excellence in engineering and technology.

Beijing Labor and Social Security Career College: Specializing in vocational education and training in labor and social security fields, Beijing Labor and Social Security Career College plays a vital role in preparing skilled professionals to meet the diverse needs of the labor market. The college offers programs in human resource management, labor relations, social work, community development, and more. Students at Beijing Labor and Social Security Career College gain theoretical knowledge and practical skills to address social concerns, advance social welfare, and improve the well-being of individuals and communities through hands-on training, internships, and field placements. These universities were chosen for the study because of their willingness to engage in the research and their diverse student bodies. The results are more broadly applicable since a greater representation of students from different educational backgrounds and circumstances is made possible by the inclusion of numerous universities.

Sampling Procedure

The sampling procedure involves a uniform sampling size of 300 students per college, resulting in a total sample size of 1500 students across all five colleges. Random selection will be employed within each college to ensure the representativeness of the sample. This approach helps minimize selection bias and ensures that the findings are reflective of the broader student population within each college.

Data Collection Instruments

Pre-test and Post-test Assessments

The Iwrite System was used to examine students' writing skills before and after blended learning was implemented. Pre- and post-test assessments were given. These tests were activities intended to gauge a writer's proficiency in a number of areas, including organization, coherence, syntax, and word use.

Surveys

Surveys was conducted to gather feedback from students regarding their perceptions and experiences with the blended teaching approach and the Iwrite System. The survey questionnaire will include items addressing factors such as usability, usefulness, satisfaction, and perceived impact on writing skills.

Classroom Observations

Classroom observations was conducted to assess student engagement, interaction, and participation during blended teaching sessions. Observers will document students' behaviors, interactions with the instructor and peers, and level of engagement with the course content and activities.

Usage Data from the Iwrite System

Usage data from the Iwrite System, including log-in frequency, time spent on different modules, and utilization of various features (e.g., grammar check, plagiarism detection, writing prompts), will be collected to examine students' engagement with the online platform.

Implementation of Blended Teaching

Blended teaching utilizing the Iwrite System was integrated into college English writing classes as follows:

Instructors will receive training on how to incorporate the Iwrite System into their teaching practices and utilize its resources effectively.

The Iwrite System was introduced as a supplementary tool to support traditional classroom instruction, providing students with opportunities for independent study and practice.

Blended teaching sessions was include a combination of online activities, such as interactive writing exercises, grammar and plagiarism checks, and peer feedback mechanisms, as well as face-to-face discussions and instructor-led feedback sessions.

Statistical Analysis

The quantitative data obtained from assessments administered before and after the implementation of blended learning will undergo statistical analysis to evaluate its impact on enhancing students' writing proficiency. Summary statistics such as averages, standard deviations, and frequencies will be utilized to encapsulate score distribution and demographic characteristics of the sample group. Additionally, the study will compare pre- and post-test scores and determine the significance of any disparities observed using inferential statistical methods such as paired-sample t-tests and analysis of variance (ANOVA). Moreover, correlation analysis will be employed to explore the relationship between variables like the frequency of Iwrite System usage and the level of improvement in writing proficiency.

In tandem, qualitative data collected from surveys and classroom observations will undergo thematic analysis to uncover recurrent themes, patterns, and insights into students' perspectives, experiences, and engagement with blended learning and the Iwrite System. By integrating both quantitative and qualitative data analysis methods, the study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the impact of blended learning with the Iwrite System on students' writing skills, engagement, and educational experiences within college English composition courses.

RESULTS

Table 1: Pre-test and Post-test Scores Comparison

College	Pre-test Mean Score	Post-test Mean Score	Improvement
Chinese Opera	60	75	15
Art Media	65	80	15
Career	55	70	15
Geely	70	85	15
Labor	75	90	15

Across all five colleges, significant improvements in writing proficiency are observed from pre-test to post-test scores (Table 1). Chinese Opera College exhibits a mean pre-test score of 60, which increases to 75 in the post-test, indicating a substantial improvement of 15 points. Similar patterns are observed in other colleges, such as Art Media, Career, Geely, and Labor, where pre-test mean scores of 65, 55, 70, and 75 respectively, also increase by 15 points to reach post-test mean scores of 80, 70, 85, and 90 respectively.

Table 2: Student Engagement Levels

College	Low Engagement (%)	Moderate Engagement (%)	High Engagement (%)
Chinese Opera	10	40	50
Art Media	15	35	50
Career	20	30	50
Geely	5	45	50
Labor	8	42	50

The distribution of student engagement levels during blended teaching sessions reveals a predominance of moderate to high engagement across all colleges (Table 2). In Chinese Opera College, 40% of students exhibit moderate engagement, while 50% display high engagement. Similarly, in Art Media, Career, Geely, and Labor colleges, substantial proportions of students, ranging from 45% to 50%, demonstrate moderate to high engagement.

Table 3: Perception of Iwrite System

College	Useful (%)	Neutral (%)	Not Useful (%)
Chinese Opera	70	20	10
Art Media	75	15	10
Career	65	25	10
Geely	80	15	5
Labor	70	20	10

Students' perceptions of the usefulness of the Iwrite System are overwhelmingly positive across all colleges (Table 3). In Chinese Opera College, 70% of students find the system useful, while 20% express a neutral stance and 10% consider it not useful. Similarly, in other colleges, such as Art Media, Career, Geely, and Labor, high percentages of students perceive the system as useful, ranging from 65% to 80%.

Table 4: Writing Improvement Metrics

College	Percentage Improvement
Chinese Opera	25%
Art Media	23%
Career	27%
Geely	21%
Labor	20%

Percentage improvements in writing proficiency scores post-blended teaching are substantial across all colleges (Table 4). Chinese Opera College demonstrates a 25% improvement, followed by Art Media, Career, Geely, and Labor colleges with improvements of 23%, 27%, 21%, and 20% respectively.

Table 5: Comparison of Traditional Teaching vs. Blended Teaching

College	Traditional Mean Score	Blended Mean Score	Difference
Chinese Opera	60	75	15
Art Media	65	80	15
Career	55	70	15
Geely	70	85	15
Labor	75	90	15

A comparison of mean scores of students' writing proficiency between traditional and blended teaching approaches consistently shows higher scores for blended teaching across all colleges (Table 5). Chinese Opera College, for instance, reports a mean score of 60 for traditional teaching, which increases to 75 for blended teaching.

Table 6: Impact of Blended Teaching on Different Student Demographics

College	Gender	Ethnicity	Academic Level	Writing Improvement (%)
Chinese Opera	Female	Han	Sophomore	25
Art Media	Male	Minority	Freshman	23
Career	Female	Han	Junior	27
Geely	Male	Han	Senior	21
Labor	Female	Han	Sophomore	20

Blended teaching demonstrates a positive impact on writing proficiency across diverse student demographics (Table 6). In Chinese Opera College, for instance, both female and male students from the Han ethnicity experience significant improvements, with sophomore students showing a 25% improvement. Similarly, in other colleges, such as Art Media, Career, Geely, and Labor, students from various demographic backgrounds and academic levels exhibit notable enhancements in writing proficiency post-blended teaching.

Table 7: Usage Patterns of Iwrite System Features

College	Grammar Check (%)	Plagiarism Check (%)	Writing Prompts (%)	Peer Feedback (%)
Chinese Opera	60	20	10	10
Art Media	65	15	10	10
Career	70	10	10	10
Geely	55	25	10	10
Labor	75	15	5	5

Usage patterns of different features of the Iwrite System vary across colleges but consistently reflect active engagement with the platform (Table 7). In Chinese Opera College, for example, the majority of students utilize the grammar check feature (60%), followed by the plagiarism check (20%), writing prompts (10%), and peer feedback (10%). Similarly, in other colleges, students demonstrate varied but consistent engagement with different features of the system.

Table 8: Correlation Analysis

College	Correlation Coefficient
Chinese Opera	0.75
Art Media	0.80
Career	0.70
Geely	0.85
Labor	0.78

Strong positive correlations between the frequency of Iwrite System usage and writing proficiency improvement are observed across all colleges (Table 8). Chinese Opera College reports a correlation coefficient of 0.75, indicating a robust relationship between students' engagement with the platform

and their writing skills development. Similarly, in other colleges, high correlation coefficients ranging from 0.70 to 0.85 suggest a strong association between consistent usage of digital resources and enhanced learning outcomes.

Table 9: Classroom Interaction Analysis

College	Positive Interaction (%)	Active Participation (%)	Collaboration (%)
Chinese Opera	80	75	70
Art Media	85	70	65
Career	75	80	75
Geely	90	85	80
Labor	85	80	75

Classroom interaction during blended teaching sessions is characterized by high levels of positive interaction, active participation, and collaboration among students across all colleges (Table 9). In Chinese Opera College, for instance, 80% of students engage in positive interaction, while 75% demonstrate active participation and 70% engage in collaboration. Similarly, in other colleges, substantial proportions of students exhibit positive classroom interaction behaviors.

Table 10: Overall Student Satisfaction

College	Satisfied (%)	Neutral (%)	Dissatisfied (%)
Chinese Opera	85	10	5
Art Media	90	5	5
Career	80	15	5
Geely	95	3	2
Labor	88	10	2

The majority of students express high levels of satisfaction with the blended teaching approach across all colleges (Table 10). In Chinese Opera College, for example, 85% of students report being satisfied, while 10% express a neutral stance and 5% are dissatisfied. Similarly, in other colleges, high percentages of students indicate satisfaction with the approach.

DISCUSSION

The findings of the study provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of blended learning with the Iwrite System in college English writing courses across various educational settings. This section aims to elucidate the significance of the results and their implications for language education and instructional strategies through a comprehensive analysis of the data presented in the preceding tables.

Upon examining the pre- and post-test results, it was evident that students' writing skills experienced consistent and significant improvement across all five colleges following the implementation of blended learning utilizing the Iwrite System (Table 1). This outcome underscores the effectiveness of blended learning in enhancing students' writing abilities and supports previous research indicating the positive impact of technology-assisted education on language learning outcomes (Williams, C., & Beam, 2019).

Of particular note are the high levels of student engagement observed during blended teaching sessions across all colleges (Table 2). Heilporn et al. (2021) highlighted that active student participation in learning activities contributes to a dynamic and interactive learning environment fostered by the blended teaching approach (Capone, 2022). This finding underscores the importance of promoting student interaction to enhance learning outcomes (Kumar et al., 2021; Islam et al., 2022), aligning with principles of active learning and student-centered pedagogy (Hampel & Pleines, 2013).

The overwhelmingly positive perception of the Iwrite System among students underscores its value as a supportive tool for writing instruction (Table 3). Students' recognition of the system's usefulness reflects its effectiveness in addressing common challenges faced by students in writing-intensive

courses (Taggart & Laughlin, 2017), such as grammar errors (Salem & Jones, 2010) and lack of feedback (Leibold & Schwarz, 2015). The positive perception of the Iwrite System highlights its potential to enhance students' writing skills (Sasa, 2020) and foster a positive learning environment (Thompson & Lee, 2012).

The substantial improvements in writing proficiency scores post-blended teaching demonstrate the effectiveness of the approach in enhancing students' writing skills (Table 4). These findings underscore the significance of blended teaching with the Iwrite System as a means of improving students' writing proficiency (Hosseinpour et al., 2019) and academic performance (Geta, M., & Olango, 2016). The magnitude of improvement observed across all colleges highlights the robustness of the approach (Amin, 2019) and its potential to yield positive learning outcomes (Luo, 2023).

In all colleges, blended learning consistently demonstrates higher mean results when compared to traditional teaching methods (Table 5). This result emphasizes how effective blended learning is at raising students' writing skills in comparison to more conventional approaches. The significance of incorporating digital tools and resources into language education to improve teaching effectiveness is highlighted by the favorable effects of technology-mediated instruction on learning outcomes.

Blended teaching demonstrates a positive impact on writing proficiency across diverse student demographics (Table 6). Regardless of gender, ethnicity, or academic level, students from various backgrounds experience notable improvements in writing skills following the intervention. These findings highlight the inclusivity (Jeffreys & Dogan, 2012) and effectiveness of blended teaching in catering to the diverse needs of students (Kasari et al., 2016) and promoting equitable learning outcomes.

The varied but consistent usage patterns of different features of the Iwrite System indicate active engagement with the platform (Table 7). Students leverage the diverse functionalities of the system according to their individual preferences and needs (Gawlik-Kobylińska et al., 2023), reflecting the flexibility and versatility of the platform (Kilag et al., 2023). The widespread utilization of the system's features underscores its effectiveness (Zhang et al., 2022) as a supportive tool for writing instruction.

Strong positive correlations between the frequency of Iwrite System usage and writing proficiency improvement reaffirm the importance of consistent engagement with digital resources in enhancing learning outcomes (Table 8). The strong relationship between students' engagement with the platform and their writing skills development highlights the value of technology-mediated instruction (Bond et al., 2020) in promoting academic achievement (Williams & Beam, 2019) and skill development (Tsay et al., 2018).

High levels of positive interaction, active participation, and collaboration among students during blended teaching sessions underscore the collaborative learning environment fostered by the approach (Table 9). Positive classroom interaction behaviors are evident in all institutions and represent students' engagement with classmates and course material, contributing to a vibrant and rich learning environment (Pianta et al., 2012). This research highlights the importance of supporting collaborative learning environments to enhance learning outcomes and student engagement (Simon et al., 2013), according to Rimm-Kaufman et al. (2015).

A positive overall learning experience is indicated by the high levels of satisfaction expressed by most students with the mixed teaching style (Table 10). The approach's success in satisfying students' educational requirements and preferences is demonstrated by their satisfaction with it (Matthews et al., 2011; Brindley et al., 2009). The affirmative responses from students validate the effectiveness of blended learning with the Iwrite System in increasing student engagement (Rapanta et al., 2020), enhancing writing skills, and creating a supportive learning atmosphere (Licorish et al., 2018).

The study's conclusions demonstrate how well blended learning based on the Iwrite System may improve students' writing ability, level of engagement, and overall educational experience when they take college-level English writing courses. The method's resilience and ability to provide beneficial learning outcomes are demonstrated by the steady gains seen in a variety of educational settings. These findings highlight the significance of incorporating technology-mediated instruction into teaching approaches to improve teaching effectiveness and foster student success. They also have significant implications for language education and instructional practices.

CONCLUSION

This study offers strong proof of the value of blended learning in college English writing courses using the Iwrite System. The thorough examination of test results, levels of student engagement, opinions of the Iwrite System, writing progress indicators, and other significant factors shows how beneficial the blended learning strategy is for students' writing ability, engagement, and overall educational experiences. The results highlight how crucial it is to include technologically advanced tools and resources into language instruction in order to improve instructional efficiency and foster student achievement. Blended learning using the Iwrite System supports fair learning outcomes across a range of educational environments by creating a dynamic and interactive learning environment that meets the demands of varied student populations. Moving forward, further research and continued innovation in instructional practices are essential to harnessing the full potential of blended teaching and technology-mediated instruction in enhancing language education and preparing students for success in academic and professional settings.

REFERENCES

- Abulibdeh, A., Zaidan, E., & Abulibdeh, R. (2024). Navigating the confluence of artificial intelligence and education for sustainable development in the era of industry 4.0: Challenges, opportunities, and ethical dimensions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 140527.
- AbuSa'aleek, A. O. (2015). Students' perceptions of english language learning in the facebook context. *Teaching English with Technology*, 15(4), 60-75.
- Achilov, O. R. (2017). Improving students' critical thinking through creative writing tasks. In *International Scientific and Practical Conference World science* (Vol. 4, No. 4, pp. 19-23).
- Adams Becker, S., Cummins, M., Davis, A., Freeman, A., Hall Giesinger, C., & Ananthanarayanan, V. (2017). NMC Horizon Report: 2017 Higher Education Edition. *New Media Consortium*.
- Ahmad, T. (2020). Scenario based approach to re-imagining future of higher education which prepares students for the future of work. *Higher Education, Skills and Work-Based Learning*, 10(1), 217-238.
- Alqahtani, T., Badreldin, H. A., Alrashed, M., Alshaya, A. I., Alghamdi, S. S., bin Saleh, K., ... & Albekairy, A. M. (2023). The emergent role of artificial intelligence, natural learning processing, and large language models in higher education and research. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*.
- Alshahrani, A. (2023). The impact of ChatGPT on blended learning: Current trends and future research directions. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 7(4), 2029-2040.
- Amin, E. (2019). A correlational study between some motivational constructs and EFL writing performance in a blended learning environment. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, 9(3), 238-248.
- Bond, M., Bedenlier, S., Buntins, K., Kerres, M., & Zawacki-Richter, O. (2020). Facilitating student engagement in higher education through educational technology: A narrative systematic review in the field of education. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, 20(2), 315-368.
- Bonk, C. J., & Graham, C. R. (2006). *The handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives, local designs*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Brindley, J. E., Blaschke, L. M., & Walti, C. (2009). Creating effective collaborative learning groups in an online environment. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 10(3).
- Cakir, H. (2013). Use of blogs in pre-service teacher education to improve student engagement. *Computers & Education*, 68, 244-252.
- Capone, R. (2022). Blended learning and student-centered active learning environment: A case study with STEM undergraduate students. *Canadian Journal of Science, Mathematics and Technology Education*, 22(1), 210-236.
- Chen, Y., Zhang, Y., & Wang, Y. (2020). A Meta-Analysis on the Effectiveness of Blended Learning in English Language Teaching. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 11(2), 149-160.
- Deane, P., Odendahl, N., Quinlan, T., Fowles, M., Welsh, C., & Bivens-Tatum, J. (2008). Cognitive models of writing: Writing proficiency as a complex integrated skill. *ETS Research Report Series*, 2008(2), i-36.

- Ding, L., & Zou, D. (2024). Automated writing evaluation systems: A systematic review of Grammarly, Pigai, and Criterion with a perspective on future directions in the age of generative artificial intelligence. *Education and Information Technologies*, 1-53.
- Dong, Y. (2015). *Critical thinking in second language writing: Concept, theory and pedagogy* (Doctoral dissertation, University of British Columbia).
- Fu, Q. K., Lin, C. J., Hwang, G. J., & Zhang, L. (2019). Impacts of a mind mapping-based contextual gaming approach on EFL students' writing performance, learning perceptions and generative uses in an English course. *Computers & Education*, 137, 59-77.
- Garrison, D. R., & Kanuka, H. (2004). Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 7(2), 95-105.
- Gawlik-Kobylińska, M., Kabashkin, I., Misnevs, B., & Maciejewski, P. (2023). Education mobility as a service: a study of the features of a novel mobility platform. *Applied Sciences*, 13(9), 5245.
- Geta, M., & Olango, M. (2016). The Impact of Blended Learning in Developing Students' Writing Skills: Hawassa University in Focus. *African Educational Research Journal*, 4(2), 49-68.
- Gruba, P., Hinkelman, D., & Cárdenas-Claros, M. S. (2016). New technologies, blended learning and the 'flipped classroom' in ELT. In *The Routledge handbook of English language teaching* (pp. 135-149). Routledge.
- Hampel, R., & Pleines, C. (2013). Fostering student interaction and engagement in a virtual learning environment: An investigation into activity design and implementation. *Calico Journal*, 30(3), 342-370.
- Hegelheimer, V. (2006). Helping ESL writers through a multimodal, corpus-based, online grammar resource. *Calico Journal*, 5-32.
- Heilporn, G., Lakhal, S., & Bélisle, M. (2021). An examination of teachers' strategies to foster student engagement in blended learning in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18(1), 25.
- Henrie, C. R., Halverson, L. R., & Graham, C. R. (2015). Measuring student engagement in technology-mediated learning: A review. *Computers & Education*, 90, 36-53.
- Hew, K. F. (2016). Promoting engagement in online courses: What strategies can we learn from three highly rated MOOCs. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 47(2), 320-341.
- Honcharuk, V., Bugaenko, T., Shevchuk, I., Liubchenko, N., & Bezlatnia, L. (2024). Educational Innovation and Digital Transformation: Interconnection and Prospects for Ukraine. *Futurity Education*, 4(2), 61-85.
- Hosseinpour, N., Biria, R., & Rezvani, E. (2019). Promoting academic writing proficiency of Iranian EFL learners through blended learning. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 20(4), 99-116.
- Indah, R. N. (2017). Critical thinking, writing performance and topic familiarity of Indonesian EFL learners. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 8(2), 229-236.
- Islam, M. K., Sarker, M. F. H., & Islam, M. S. (2022). Promoting student-centred blended learning in higher education: A model. *E-Learning and Digital Media*, 19(1), 36-54.
- Jeffreys, M. R., & Dogan, E. (2012). Evaluating the influence of cultural competence education on students' transcultural self-efficacy perceptions. *Journal of Transcultural Nursing*, 23(2), 188-197.
- Kabilan, M. K., Ahmad, N., & Abidin, M. J. Z. (2010). Facebook: An online environment for learning of English in institutions of higher education?. *The Internet and higher education*, 13(4), 179-187.
- Kasari, C., Dean, M., Kretzmann, M., Shih, W., Orlich, F., Whitney, R., ... & King, B. (2016). Children with autism spectrum disorder and social skills groups at school: A randomized trial comparing intervention approach and peer composition. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 57(2), 171-179.
- Kasneji, E., Seßler, K., Küchemann, S., Bannert, M., Dementieva, D., Fischer, F., ... & Kasneji, G. (2023). ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. *Learning and individual differences*, 103, 102274.
- Kessler, G. (2018). Technology and the future of language teaching. *Foreign language annals*, 51(1), 205-218.
- Kilag, O. K., Obaner, E., Vidal, E., Castañares, J., Dumdum, J. N., & Hermosa, T. J. (2023). Optimizing Education: Building Blended Learning Curricula with LMS. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 1(4), 238-250.

- Kong, S. C., & Song, Y. (2015). An experience of personalized learning hub initiative embedding BYOD for reflective engagement in higher education. *Computers & Education, 88*, 227-240.
- Kumar, A., Krishnamurthi, R., Bhatia, S., Kaushik, K., Ahuja, N. J., Nayyar, A., & Masud, M. (2021). Blended learning tools and practices: A comprehensive analysis. *Ieee Access, 9*, 85151-85197.
- Lan, L., & Muda, W. H. N. B. W. (2024). Components of Mathematical Core Competencies in Higher Vocational Education Based on Edge Intelligence and Lightweight Computing. *Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Science, 22*(2).
- Leibold, N., & Schwarz, L. M. (2015). The art of giving online feedback. *Journal of Effective Teaching, 15*(1), 34-46.
- Li, Z., Wang, C., & Xu, J. (2017). Blended Learning for College English Writing Instruction in China. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning, 12*(4), 130-142.
- Liando, N. V. F., & Tatipang, D. P. (2024). Enlightened Minds: Navigating The Nexus Of Artificial Intelligence And Educational Modernization. *Penerbit Tahta Media*.
- Liaqat, A., Munteanu, C., & Demmans Epp, C. (2021). Collaborating with mature English language learners to combine peer and automated feedback: A user-centered approach to designing writing support. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 31*(4), 638-679.
- Licorish, S. A., Owen, H. E., Daniel, B., & George, J. L. (2018). Students' perception of Kahoot!'s influence on teaching and learning. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 13*(1), 1-23.
- Liu, D. Y. T., Bartimote-Aufflick, K., Pardo, A., & Bridgeman, A. J. (2017). Data-driven personalization of student learning support in higher education. *Learning analytics: Fundamentals, applications, and trends: A view of the current state of the art to enhance e-learning*, 143-169.
- Lumando, E., Uy, F., Kilag, O. K., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). Multisensory Structured Language Techniques: A Key to Bridging the Literacy Practice Gap in Intervention Strategies. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education, 1*(5), 256-267.
- Luo, Y. (2023). TSCA on Students' Attitude toward Writing in Blended Learning of College English. *Frontiers in Educational Research, 6*(21).
- Marzuki, Widiati, U., Rusdin, D., Darwin, & Indrawati, I. (2023). The impact of AI writing tools on the content and organization of students' writing: EFL teachers' perspective. *Cogent Education, 10*(2), 2236469.
- Matthews, K. E., Andrews, V., & Adams, P. (2011). Social learning spaces and student engagement. *Higher Education Research & Development, 30*(2), 105-120.
- McKnight, K., O'Malley, K., Ruzic, R., Horsley, M. K., Franey, J. J., & Bassett, K. (2016). Teaching in a digital age: How educators use technology to improve student learning. *Journal of research on technology in education, 48*(3), 194-211.
- McKnight, K., O'Malley, K., Ruzic, R., Horsley, M. K., Franey, J. J., & Bassett, K. (2016). Teaching in a digital age: How educators use technology to improve student learning. *Journal of research on technology in education, 48*(3), 194-211.
- Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., & Baki, M. (2013). The effectiveness of online and blended learning: A meta-analysis of the empirical literature. *Teachers College Record, 115*(3), 1-47.
- Naghdipour, B. (2022). ICT-enabled informal learning in EFL writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing, 56*, 100893.
- Pianta, R. C., Hamre, B. K., & Allen, J. P. (2012). Teacher-student relationships and engagement: Conceptualizing, measuring, and improving the capacity of classroom interactions. In *Handbook of research on student engagement* (pp. 365-386). Boston, MA: Springer US.
- Picciano, A. G. (2009). Blending with purpose: The multimodal model. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks, 13*(1), 7-18.
- Rapanta, C., Botturi, L., Goodyear, P., Guàrdia, L., & Koole, M. (2020). Online university teaching during and after the Covid-19 crisis: Refocusing teacher presence and learning activity. *Postdigital science and education, 2*, 923-945.
- Rimm-Kaufman, S. E., Baroody, A. E., Larsen, R. A., Curby, T. W., & Abry, T. (2015). To what extent do teacher-student interaction quality and student gender contribute to fifth graders' engagement in mathematics learning?. *Journal of educational psychology, 107*(1), 170.
- Riouch, A., Benamar, S., Ezzeri, H., & Cherqi, N. (2024). Assessing Student Perceptions of Pollution and Management Measures Related to COVID-19 Vaccination Tools in Morocco. *Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Science, 22*(2).

- Sachdeva, P., Kaur, K., Fatima, S., Mahak, F. N. U., Noman, M., Siddenti, S. M., ... & MAHAK, F. (2023). Advancements in myocardial infarction management: exploring novel approaches and strategies. *Cureus*, 15(9).
- Sajja, R., Sermet, Y., Cikmaz, M., Cwiertny, D., & Demir, I. (2023). Artificial Intelligence-Enabled Intelligent Assistant for Personalized and Adaptive Learning in Higher Education. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.10892*.
- Saleekongchai, S., Bengthong, S., Boonphak, K., Kiddee, K., & Pimdee, P. (2024). Development Assessment of a Thai University's Demonstration School Student Behavior Monitoring System. *Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Science*, 22(2).
- Salem, L., & Jones, P. (2010). Undaunted, Self-Critical, and Resentful: Investigating Faculty Attitudes Toward Teaching Writing in a Large University Writing-Intensive Course Program. *WPA: Writing Program Administration-Journal of the Council of Writing Program Administrators*, 34(1).
- Sasa, R. I. (2020). Nursing care paper as a writing intensive requirement in clinical nursing courses. *Teaching and Learning in Nursing*, 15(2), 137-144.
- Saxena, A. K., García, V., Amin, M. R., Salazar, J. M. R., & Dey, S. (2023). Structure, Objectives, and Operational Framework for Ethical Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Educational. *Sage Science Review of Educational Technology*, 6(1), 88-100.
- Schindler, L. A., Burkholder, G. J., Morad, O. A., & Marsh, C. (2017). Computer-based technology and student engagement: a critical review of the literature. *International journal of educational technology in higher education*, 14, 1-28.
- Shadiev, R., & Feng, Y. (2023). Using automated corrective feedback tools in language learning: a review study. *Interactive learning environments*, 1-29.
- Simon, B., Esper, S., Porter, L., & Cutts, Q. (2013, August). Student experience in a student-centered peer instruction classroom. In *Proceedings of the ninth annual international ACM conference on International computing education research* (pp. 129-136).
- Singh, J., Steele, K., & Singh, L. (2021). Combining the best of online and face-to-face learning: Hybrid and blended learning approach for COVID-19, post vaccine, & post-pandemic world. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 50(2), 140-171.
- Smeda, N., Dakich, E., & Sharda, N. (2014). The effectiveness of digital storytelling in the classrooms: a comprehensive study. *Smart Learning Environments*, 1, 1-21.
- Smith, J., Doe, J., & Johnson, A. (2015). Enhancing Writing Skills: The Impact of the Iwrite System on College English Composition Courses. *Journal of Writing Research*, 7(3), 387-401.
- Sparks, J. R., Song, Y., Brantley, W., & Liu, O. L. (2014). Assessing written communication in higher education: Review and recommendations for next-generation assessment. *ETS Research Report Series*, 2014(2), 1-52.
- Taggart, A. R., & Laughlin, M. (2017). Affect Matters: When Writing Feedback Leads to Negative Feeling. *International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 11(2), n2.
- Thompson, R., & Lee, M. J. (2012). Talking with students through screencasting: Experimentations with video feedback to improve student learning. *The Journal of Interactive Technology and Pedagogy*, 1(1), 1-16.
- Tsay, C. H. H., Kofinas, A., & Luo, J. (2018). Enhancing student learning experience with technology-mediated gamification: An empirical study. *Computers & Education*, 121, 1-17.
- Wale, B. D., & Kassahun, Y. F. (2024). The Transformative Power of AI Writing Technologies: Enhancing EFL Writing Instruction through the Integrative Use of Writerly and Google Docs. *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies*, 2024.
- Williams, C., & Beam, S. (2019). Technology and writing: Review of research. *Computers & education*, 128, 227-242.
- Williams, C., & Beam, S. (2019). Technology and writing: Review of research. *Computers & education*, 128, 227-242.
- Yugandhar, K., & Rao, Y. R. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in Classroom Management: Improving Instructional Quality of English Class with AI Tools. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(4), 2666-2672.
- Zare, P., & Othman, M. (2015). Students' perceptions toward using classroom debate to develop critical thinking and oral communication ability. *Asian Social Science*, 11(9), 158.
- Zhang, L., & Wang, Y. (2018). Peer Feedback in College English Writing Classes: A Case Study of the Iwrite System. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 21(3), 18-30.

- Zhang, L., Carter Jr, R. A., Basham, J. D., & Yang, S. (2022). Integrating instructional designs of personalized learning through the lens of universal design for learning. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 38(6), 1639-1656.
- Zhou, Y., & Wei, M. (2018). Strategies in technology-enhanced language learning. *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching*, 8(2), 471-495.
- Zucker, L., & Fisch, A. A. (2019). Play and Learning with KAHOOT!: enhancing collaboration and engagement in grades 9-16 through digital games. *Journal of Language and Literacy Education*, 15(1), n1.