



## RESEARCH ARTICLE

**“Parenting Program and Its Role in Family Reconciliation”**TARIQ ZIAD<sup>1\*</sup>, Haitham M Altaany<sup>2</sup>, Ra'ed R Bataineh<sup>3</sup><sup>1</sup> Faculty of Educational Sciences, Family Guidance and Counseling Department, Jadara University, JORDAN,<sup>2</sup> Umm AlQuwain University. UAE, Faculty of Science and Art,<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Physical Education, Sports Department, Jadara University-Jordan**ARTICLE INFO****ABSTRACT**

Received: Oct 17, 2024

Accepted: Dec 6, 2024

**Keywords**

Parenting Programs

Family Reconciliation

Conflict Resolution

Triple P

PCIT

Evidence-Based Interventions

Cultural Relevance

**\*Corresponding Author:**

t.abusubh@jadara.edu.jo

Parenting programs are essential to advancing the principle of family reconciliation because parents are taken through some critical skills to enable them to triumph through various odds and encourage positive family functioning. This review article aims to discuss the effectiveness of parenting programs in these goals with a focus on parent-child communication, conflict management, and reconciliation. This paper reviews the literature and examines one of the parenting interventions including the Positive Parenting Program (Triple P), and Parent-Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT), and provides information about the approaches and results. Best practices also embrace empirical practice, a parental component, cultural sensitivity, and practicability. The evidence affirms the portability of parenting programs across all cultural and socio-economic statuses and pinpoints challenges including inadequate resources and stigma as factors hindering the practice. Suggestions for the improvement of the program's efficiency and availability are given with special reference to the need for an individualized and continuous approach to the families. It is for these reasons that this review aims to increase knowledge regarding the function of parenting programs within the process of promoting family capital and reconciliation.

**INTRODUCTION**

In today's world, increasing social, financial, and technological pressures are contributing to rising rates of family conflicts. With the advent of social media and modern work-life imbalances, families face unprecedented stress, leading to breakdowns in communication, emotional distance, and behavioral problems in children. Divorce rates, family separations, and parental burnout are on the rise, making family reconciliation a critical topic. Parenting programs have emerged as essential tools to counter these issues by equipping parents with the strategies needed to manage family stress and restore harmony (Procentese et al, 2019).

Parenting is an art, a skill, and most importantly, a responsibility that shapes the future of children and the family unit. In today's fast-paced world, families face numerous challenges that can lead to conflicts and a communication breakdown. This is where parenting programs come into play (Lanjekar et al,2022). Designed to equip parents with the necessary tools and knowledge, these programs aim to foster a nurturing environment conducive to the healthy development of children and the overall reconciliation of the family unit (Story et al,2009).

The concept of parenting has evolved significantly over the past few decades. Traditionally, parenting skills were passed down through generations, with parents often relying on their upbringing as a guide. However, as societies became more complex and diverse, the traditional approaches sometimes proved inadequate in addressing the modern challenges families face (Sharma,2023). Today, parents are inundated with conflicting advice from various sources, including books, online

forums, and social media. Amid this cacophony, structured parenting programs are evidence-based approaches that offer clear, practical strategies tailored to different family dynamics and individual child needs (Kurt,2023).

Parenting programs are not a one-size-fits-all solution. They come in various forms, each designed to address specific issues, such as behavioral problems, communication breakdowns, or emotional support. For instance, the Positive Parenting Program (Triple P) promotes positive behavior through reinforcement and clear guidelines. At the same time, Parent-Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT) emphasizes improving the quality of the parent-child relationship through guided interactions (Sanders,2003). These programs are grounded in psychological theories and empirical research, ensuring they are effective and reliable.

The significance of parenting programs extends beyond immediate family benefits. They play a crucial role in the broader context of community and societal well-being (Altaany & Abdelbary,2024). Strong, healthy families contribute to the stability and progress of communities (Michaelson et al,2021). Children who grow up in supportive environments are more likely to become well-adjusted adults, capable of contributing positively to society. In this sense, parenting programs are an investment in the future, fostering the development of responsible, empathetic, and resilient individuals (Sanders et al,2022).

One of the key objectives of parenting programs is family reconciliation. Family reconciliation refers to the process of resolving interpersonal conflicts within the family unit, healing emotional wounds, and restoring harmonious relationships between family members (Aye et al,2017). It involves rebuilding trust, improving communication, and addressing past grievances in a structured manner. Family reconciliation involves resolving conflicts, healing emotional wounds, and restoring harmony within the family unit. Conflicts within families can arise from various sources, such as differences in parenting styles, financial stress, or communication issues. Left unaddressed, these conflicts can escalate, leading to long-term emotional and psychological damage for both parents and children. Parenting programs provide structured approaches to conflict resolution, helping families to navigate their differences and rebuild their relationships (Carr,2013).

The effectiveness of parenting programs in fostering family reconciliation is well-documented. Research shows that these programs can significantly reduce parental stress, improve communication, and enhance problem-solving skills within the family. For example, studies on the Triple P program have demonstrated its effectiveness in reducing child behavioral problems and improving parental confidence (Graaf et al., 2008). Similarly, PCIT is effective in treating children with conduct disorders, leading to better family cohesion and reduced parental stress (Ulaş et al., 2023).

In addition to addressing immediate family issues, parenting programs also focus on long-term strategies for maintaining family harmony. This includes teaching parents how to model positive behavior, set consistent boundaries, and foster open communication. By instilling these skills, parenting programs help to create a sustainable environment where children can thrive and families can maintain their bond over time (Hussain et al,2023).

Parenting programs also emphasize the importance of self-care for parents. Parenting is a demanding job, and the stress associated with it can take a toll on parents' mental and physical health. Many parenting programs include components that focus on helping parents manage their stress, seek support when needed, and maintain their well-being. This holistic approach ensures that parents are not only equipped to handle parenting challenges but are also able to take care of themselves, which in turn benefits the entire family (Golfenshtein et al,2016).

Moreover, the role of technology in delivering parenting programs cannot be overlooked. With the advent of online platforms and mobile applications, parenting programs have become more accessible than ever before. Parents can now access resources, participate in virtual workshops, and connect with other parents from the comfort of their homes. This increased accessibility has made it possible for more families to benefit from these programs, regardless of their geographical location or socio-economic status (Kohlhoff et al,2020).

## Study objectives

This review article aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of parenting programs and their role in family reconciliation. The specific objectives of this study are:

1. **To evaluate the effectiveness of various parenting programs** in improving family dynamics and resolving conflicts. This includes examining both short-term and long-term impacts on parent-child relationships and overall family harmony.
2. **To identify key components and best practices** within parenting programs that contribute to their success. Understanding what makes these programs effective can help in refining existing programs and developing new ones.
3. **To explore the role of cultural and contextual factors** in the implementation and outcomes of parenting programs. This objective recognizes that different families have unique needs and challenges, and effective programs must be adaptable to these differences.
4. **To assess the accessibility and reach of parenting programs**, particularly in underserved and marginalized communities. This includes examining barriers to participation and strategies to overcome these obstacles.
5. **To provide recommendations for policymakers, practitioners, and researchers** on how to support and enhance parenting programs. This involves suggesting practical steps for integrating these programs into broader family support services and identifying areas for future research.

In conclusion, parenting programs are invaluable tools in the modern world, offering evidence-based strategies to help families navigate the complexities of parenting. By focusing on improving communication, reducing conflicts, and fostering a supportive environment, these programs play a critical role in family reconciliation. As research continues to highlight their benefits, it is essential to advocate for the widespread implementation and support of parenting programs to ensure the well-being of families and, by extension, society as a whole.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### Overview of existing research

Research on parenting programs has grown exponentially over the past few decades. Numerous studies highlight the positive impacts of these programs on family dynamics, child behavior, and parental skills. Programs such as the Positive Parenting Program (Triple P) and Parent-Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT) have been extensively studied, showing significant improvements in parent-child relationships and reductions in behavioral problems in children (Sander, 2023; Bodenmann et al., 2008).

One landmark study conducted by Sanders (2023) examined the efficacy of the Triple P program across various settings and populations. The results indicated that parents who participated in the program reported reduced levels of stress and improved parenting practices. These findings were consistent across different socio-economic groups, suggesting the program's broad applicability and effectiveness.

Similarly, the work of Bodenmann et al. (2008) on PCIT demonstrated its success in treating children with conduct disorders. The study found that not only did children's behavior improve significantly, but there was also a marked improvement in the overall family dynamic. This research underscores the importance of involving both parents and children in therapeutic interventions to achieve the best outcomes.

### Theoretical framework

The theoretical underpinnings of parenting programs often draw from psychological theories of child development and family systems theory. The ecological systems theory by Crawford (2020) suggests that children's development is influenced by various environmental systems, with the family being a

critical component. Parenting programs aim to enhance the family environment to support optimal child development.

Another foundational theory is Bandura's social learning theory, which posits that behavior is learned through observation and imitation. Parenting programs that incorporate modeling and role-playing techniques are based on this theory. For example, in PCIT, therapists model appropriate interactions, which parents then practice with their children, reinforcing positive behaviors (Rumjaun, Narod,2020).

Attachment theory, developed by Bowlby and Ainsworth, also plays a significant role in the design of many parenting programs. This theory emphasizes the importance of secure attachments between children and caregivers, which are critical for healthy emotional and social development. Programs like PCIT and Triple P aim to strengthen these attachments by fostering positive, responsive interactions between parents and children (Kohlhoff et al,2022).

### **Types of parenting programs**

Parenting programs vary widely in their approach and target audience. Some of the prominent types include:

- **Behavioral programs:** These focus on changing specific negative behaviors through positive reinforcement and consistent discipline strategies. Programs like Triple P use evidence-based techniques to promote desired behaviors and reduce problematic ones.
- **Educational programs:** These aim to improve parental knowledge about child development and effective parenting strategies. Such programs often include workshops, seminars, and resource materials that provide parents with the information they need to support their child's growth and development.
- **Supportive programs:** These provide emotional support and a network for parents to share experiences and solutions. Group sessions and peer support are common elements, helping parents to feel less isolated and more empowered in their parenting roles.

**Therapeutic programs:** These are designed to address specific psychological or behavioral issues within the family. PCIT, for example, combines therapy with coaching to improve parent-child interactions and reduce behavioral problems in children (Scott, Gardner,2015)

### **Impact on family reconciliation**

One of the most profound impacts of parenting programs is their role in family reconciliation. By improving communication and reducing conflict, these programs help families work through their issues collaboratively. Programs that include both parents and children tend to be more effective in promoting reconciliation.

For instance, a study by Nogueira et al (2022) highlighted the success of the Triple P program in reducing family conflict and improving parental teamwork. Participants reported a greater ability to manage disagreements and a more cohesive family environment. These improvements were attributed to the program's emphasis on positive reinforcement, clear communication, and consistent discipline.

In another study, Abrahamse et al (2021) examined the long-term effects of PCIT on family dynamics. The findings showed that families who completed the program maintained their gains in communication and problem-solving skills long after the intervention ended. This suggests that parenting programs can have lasting benefits, helping families to maintain harmony and resolve conflicts effectively.

## **Cultural and contextual considerations**

Cultural and contextual factors significantly influence the implementation and outcomes of parenting programs. Programs must be adaptable to diverse family structures, cultural beliefs, and social contexts to be effective. Research by van Esch, and Haan (2017) demonstrated that culturally tailored parenting programs are more successful in engaging participants and achieving desired outcomes.

For example, the adaptation of Triple P for different cultural contexts involved modifying language, examples, and scenarios to be more relevant to the target population. This approach not only made the program more relatable but also increased its effectiveness in promoting positive parenting practices.

Furthermore, accessibility remains a critical issue. Families from marginalized communities often face barriers such as lack of resources, stigma, and logistical challenges that can hinder their participation in parenting programs. Studies suggest that offering flexible delivery methods, such as online programs and community-based workshops, can help to overcome these barriers (Rostad et al., 2018).

## **METHODOLOGY**

### **Literature search strategy**

To conduct a comprehensive review of parenting programs and their role in family reconciliation, an extensive literature search was performed. Academic databases such as PubMed, PsycINFO, and Google Scholar were utilized to identify relevant studies. Keywords used in the search included "parenting programs," "family reconciliation," "behavioral therapy," "parent-child interaction," and "conflict resolution." The search was restricted to peer-reviewed articles published in English between 2003 and 2023 to ensure the inclusion of recent and relevant research.

### **Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

Studies were selected based on specific inclusion and exclusion criteria to ensure the relevance and quality of the review. The inclusion criteria were:

- Studies that evaluated the effectiveness of parenting programs.
- Research focusing on family dynamics, conflict resolution, and reconciliation.
- Articles published in peer-reviewed journals.
- Studies involving participants from diverse socio-economic and cultural backgrounds.

The exclusion criteria were:

- Articles not published in English.
- Studies focusing solely on parental self-reports without objective measures.
- Research on parenting programs not directly related to family reconciliation.

### **Data analysis**

The selected studies were systematically reviewed and analyzed. Data extraction focused on study design, sample characteristics, types of parenting programs, intervention methods, outcomes measured, and key findings. A qualitative synthesis was conducted to identify common themes and draw conclusions about the effectiveness and components of successful parenting programs.

### **Synthesis of findings**

The synthesis involved categorizing the studies based on the type of parenting program, the primary outcomes measured, and the overall impact on family reconciliation. Key components that contributed to the success of these programs were identified and discussed. The analysis also highlighted gaps in the current research and areas that require further investigation.

## LIMITATIONS

This review has several limitations. Firstly, the exclusion of non-English articles may have omitted relevant studies from non-English speaking countries. Secondly, the reliance on published studies may introduce publication bias, as studies with negative findings are less likely to be published. Thirdly, the heterogeneity of the studies in terms of design, sample size, and outcome measures may limit the generalizability of the findings. Despite these limitations, the review provides valuable insights into the role of parenting programs in family reconciliation.

## RESULTS

### Effectiveness of parenting programs

The analysis of the selected studies revealed that parenting programs are generally effective in improving family dynamics and facilitating reconciliation. Programs such as Triple P and PCIT showed consistent positive outcomes across different populations and settings. For instance, a meta-analysis by Freeman et al, (2011) found that Triple P significantly reduced child behavioral problems and enhanced parental competence. The program's multi-level approach, which includes individual, group, and community interventions, was particularly effective in addressing diverse family needs.

In another study, Lieneman et al. (2017) evaluated the long-term effects of PCIT on children with oppositional defiant disorder (ODD). The results indicated that children who participated in PCIT demonstrated sustained improvements in behavior, and their parents reported reduced stress levels and enhanced parenting skills. These findings underscore the lasting impact of well-structured parenting programs on both children and parents.

### Key components of successful programs

The synthesis of findings identified several key components that contribute to the success of parenting programs:

1. **Evidence-based techniques:** Programs that utilize evidence-based techniques, such as positive reinforcement and consistent discipline, tend to be more effective. These techniques are grounded in psychological research and have been shown to produce reliable outcomes.
2. **Parental involvement:** Effective programs actively involve parents in the intervention process. This includes providing them with practical tools and strategies, as well as opportunities for practice and feedback. Programs like PCIT, which include real-time coaching for parents, are particularly successful in this regard.
3. **Focus on communication:** Improving communication within the family is a central goal of many parenting programs. Techniques such as active listening, open-ended questioning, and conflict resolution are emphasized to help families build stronger, more positive relationships.
4. **Cultural relevance:** Programs that are culturally tailored to the specific needs of the target population are more successful. This involves adapting language, examples, and scenarios to ensure they are relatable and meaningful to participants.
5. **Accessibility and flexibility:** Offering flexible delivery methods, such as online modules and community-based workshops, can increase participation and engagement. Programs that address logistical barriers and provide support for families from diverse backgrounds are more likely to achieve positive outcomes.

### Barriers to implementation

Despite the demonstrated effectiveness of parenting programs, several barriers to implementation were identified:

1. **Resource constraints:** Many families, particularly those from low-income backgrounds, face financial and logistical challenges that hinder their participation in parenting programs. Providing subsidized or free programs, as well as offering sessions at convenient times and locations, can help to mitigate these barriers.

2. **Stigma:** There is often a stigma associated with seeking help for parenting issues, which can prevent families from participating in programs. Public awareness campaigns and community outreach efforts can help to normalize participation in parenting programs and reduce stigma.
3. **Lack of awareness:** Some families are simply unaware of the available parenting programs and their potential benefits. Increasing awareness through healthcare providers, schools, and community organizations can help to ensure more families have access to these valuable resources.
4. **Cultural barriers:** Cultural beliefs and practices can sometimes conflict with the principles and techniques promoted in parenting programs. Programs need to be sensitive to these differences and work to integrate culturally relevant approaches to maximize their effectiveness. Cultural beliefs and traditional values may conflict with the principles promoted in modern parenting programs. For example, in certain cultures, strict authoritarian parenting is deeply rooted, and the notion of positive reinforcement or collaborative parenting can be seen as counterintuitive. Resistance to change is often a barrier when introducing parenting programs, especially in societies that view external interventions with skepticism (Bornstein, 2012).
5. **Financial constraints:** Financial barriers can prevent families, especially those from low-income backgrounds, from accessing parenting programs. The cost of enrolling in such programs, particularly those requiring ongoing participation, can be prohibitive. Subsidized or free programs and financial support are essential to overcoming this barrier (Rostad et al., 2018).
6. **Complexity of family dynamics:** Family reconciliation is a deeply personal process, and every family has its unique set of challenges. The complexity of these dynamics, including blended families, single-parent households, and families dealing with trauma or mental health issues, makes it difficult to implement one-size-fits-all solutions. Parenting programs must be adaptable and responsive to the specific needs of each family to be effective.

## DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Discussion

The findings of this review highlight the significant role that parenting programs play in promoting family reconciliation and improving overall family dynamics. The consistent positive outcomes across different populations and settings underscore the universal applicability and effectiveness of these programs. However, it is important to recognize that not all families will benefit equally, and tailored approaches are necessary to address the diverse needs and challenges faced by different families.

One of the key strengths of parenting programs is their focus on evidence-based techniques. By utilizing proven strategies such as positive reinforcement and consistent discipline, these programs provide parents with reliable tools to address behavioral problems and improve family dynamics. Additionally, the emphasis on parental involvement ensures that parents are actively engaged in the intervention process, leading to more sustainable outcomes.

Another important finding is the critical role of communication in family reconciliation. Programs that prioritize improving communication skills within the family are particularly effective in reducing conflict and promoting harmony. Techniques such as active listening, open-ended questioning, and conflict resolution help families to better understand each other and work through their differences collaboratively.

Cultural relevance is another key factor in the success of parenting programs. Culturally tailored programs are more successful in engaging participants and achieving desired outcomes. This involves adapting language, examples, and scenarios to ensure they are relatable and meaningful to participants. Programs that fail to consider cultural differences may struggle to achieve their objectives.

Despite the demonstrated effectiveness of parenting programs, several barriers to implementation remain. Resource constraints, stigma, lack of awareness, and cultural barriers can all hinder participation in these programs. Addressing these barriers is critical to ensuring that more families can benefit from the valuable resources and support that parenting programs provide.

**Effectiveness of different parenting programs:** Not all parenting programs are equally effective for every type of family conflict. For example, the **Positive Parenting Program (Triple P)** is highly effective for general behavioral issues and improving parental confidence across diverse populations (Sanders, 2023). It provides practical strategies that are flexible enough to cater to different family structures and dynamics. However, for families dealing with severe behavioral disorders in children, **Parent-child interaction therapy (PCIT)** has shown greater effectiveness in treating conditions such as oppositional defiant disorder and conduct issues (Lieneman et al., 2017). PCIT focuses on enhancing the parent-child bond through real-time coaching, which may not be necessary for families with milder conflicts but is vital for more severe cases.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of this review, several recommendations can be made to enhance the effectiveness and accessibility of parenting programs:

1. **Increase accessibility:** Efforts should be made to increase the accessibility of parenting programs, particularly for families from low-income backgrounds. This can include providing subsidized or free programs, offering sessions at convenient times and locations, and utilizing online platforms to reach a wider audience.
2. **Enhance public awareness:** Public awareness campaigns and community outreach efforts are essential to increase awareness of available parenting programs and their potential benefits. Healthcare providers, schools, and community organizations can play a key role in disseminating information and encouraging participation.
3. **Reduce stigma:** Addressing the stigma associated with seeking help for parenting issues is critical. Public awareness campaigns can help to normalize participation in parenting programs and reduce the associated stigma. Additionally, creating a supportive and non-judgmental environment within the programs themselves can encourage more families to seek help.
4. **Culturally tailored approaches:** Programs should be culturally tailored to the specific needs of the target population. This involves adapting language, examples, and scenarios to ensure they are relatable and meaningful to participants. Engaging community leaders and culturally relevant experts in the development and delivery of these programs can enhance their effectiveness.
5. **Provide ongoing support:** Parenting is a continuous journey, and ongoing support is essential to ensure sustained outcomes. Providing follow-up sessions, support groups, and access to additional resources can help parents continue to apply the skills and strategies they have learned in the program.
6. **Research and evaluation:** Continued research and evaluation are critical to understanding the effectiveness of parenting programs and identifying areas for improvement. Longitudinal studies can provide valuable insights into the long-term impacts of these programs, while qualitative research can explore the experiences and perspectives of participants.

## CONCLUSION

Parenting programs play a vital role in enhancing family dynamics and promoting reconciliation. Grounded in robust theoretical frameworks and supported by empirical research, these programs offer practical, evidence-based strategies to address the complex challenges faced by modern families. By focusing on improving communication, reducing conflict, and fostering a supportive environment, parenting programs contribute significantly to the well-being of children and parents alike.



Efforts to increase accessibility, reduce stigma, and tailor programs to the cultural and contextual needs of families are essential to ensure that more families can benefit from these valuable resources. Continued research and evaluation will further enhance our understanding of the most effective strategies and support the development of innovative approaches to parenting.

## REFERENCES

- Abrahamse, M. E., Tsang, V. M. W., & Lindauer, R. J. L. (2021). Home-based parent-child interaction therapy to prevent child maltreatment: A randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(16), 8244. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18168244>
- Altaany, H., & Abdelbary, O. (2024). Civic education and its role in social stabilization: A comprehensive review. *Pakistan Journal of Criminology*, 16(3), 1173–1184. <https://doi.org/10.62271/pjc.16.3.1173.1184>
- Aye, E., Akaneme, N., Adimora, D., Offorka, T., Robinson, A., Nwosu, P., & Ngozi, F. (2017). Family conflict and managing strategies: Implication for understanding emotion and power struggles. *Global Journal of Psychology Research: New Trends and Issues*, 6(3), 10.18844/gjpr.v6i3.1454. <https://doi.org/10.18844/gjpr.v6i3.1454>
- Bodenmann, G., Cina, A., Ledermann, T., & Sanders, M. (2008). The efficacy of the Triple P-Positive Parenting Program in improving parenting and child behavior: A comparison with two other treatment conditions. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 46(4), 411-427. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2008.01.001>
- Bornstein, M. H. (2012). Cultural approaches to parenting. *Parenting: Science and Practice*, 12(2-3), 212–221. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15295192.2012.683359>
- Carr, E. (2013). Parental leadership roles & conflict management: Developing family resiliency through parent-child reconciliation. *Journal of Family Leadership*, 1(2), 25-39.
- Crawford, M. (2020). Ecological systems theory: Exploring the development of the theoretical framework as conceived by Bronfenbrenner. *Journal of Public Health Issues and Practices*, 4(1), 170. <https://doi.org/10.33790/jphip1100170>
- Freeman, E., Fletcher, R., & Matthey, S. (2011). The impact of behavioural parent training on fathers' parenting: A meta-analysis of the Triple P-Positive Parenting Program. *Fathering: A Journal of Theory, Research, and Practice about Men as Fathers*, 9(3), 291-310. <https://doi.org/10.3149/fth.0903.291>
- Golfenshtein, N., Srulovici, E., & Deatrick, J. (2016). Interventions for reducing parenting stress in families with pediatric conditions: An integrative review. *Journal of Family Nursing*, 22(1), 10–25. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1074840716676083>
- Graaf, I., Speetjens, P., Smit, F., De Wolff, M., & Tavecchio, L. (2008). Effectiveness of the Triple P Positive Parenting Program on behavioral problems in children: A meta-analysis. *Behavior Modification*, 32(5), 714-735. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0145445508317134>
- Hussain, M., Iqbal, S., Khan, S., Riaz, A., Sindhu, Z., & Mehfooz, Z. (2023). Examining the long-term effects of authoritative parenting on the development of adolescents. *Journal of Population Therapeutics and Clinical Pharmacology*, 30(1), 1015-1031. <https://doi.org/10.53555/jptcp.v30i18.3221>
- Kohlhoff, J., Lieneman, C., Cibralic, S., Traynor, N., & McNeil, C. B. (2022). Attachment-based parenting interventions and evidence of changes in toddler attachment patterns: An overview. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 25(4), 737–753. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-022-00405-4>
- Kohlhoff, J., Bennett, E., Simpson, W., Fowler, C., & Munns, A. (2020). Enhancing access to parenting services using digital technology-supported practices. *Journal of Child and Family Nursing*, 17(1), 4-11. <https://doi.org/10.33235/ajcfhn.17.1.4-11>
- Kurt, I. (2023). Comprehensive review on parenting and technology: Opportunities and challenges in the 21st century. *European Journal of Social Science Education and Research*, 10(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.26417/610cpd97>
- Lanjekar, P. D., Joshi, S. H., Lanjekar, P. D., & Wagh, V. (2022). The effect of parenting and the parent-child relationship on a child's cognitive development: A literature review. *Cureus*, 14(10), e30574. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.30574>

- Lieneman, C. C., Brabson, L. A., Highlander, A., Wallace, N. M., & McNeil, C. B. (2017). Parent-child interaction therapy: Current perspectives. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management, 10*, 239–256. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PRBM.S91200>
- Michaelson, V., Pilato, K. A., & Davison, C. M. (2021). Family as a health promotion setting: A scoping review of conceptual models of the health-promoting family. *PLOS One, 16*(4), e0249707. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249707>
- Nogueira, S., Canário, A. C., Abreu-Lima, I., Teixeira, P., & Cruz, O. (2022). Group Triple P intervention effects on children and parents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19*(4), 2113. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19042113>
- Procentese, F., Gatti, F., & Di Napoli, I. (2019). Families and social media use: The role of parents' perceptions about social media impact on family systems in the relationship between family collective efficacy and open communication. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16*(24), 5006. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16245006>
- Rostad, W. L., Moreland, A. D., Valle, L. A., & Chaffin, M. J. (2018). Barriers to participation in parenting programs: The relationship between parenting stress, perceived barriers, and program completion. *Journal of Child and Family Studies, 27*(4), 1264–1274. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-017-0963-6>
- Rumjaun, A., & Narod, F. (2020). Social learning theory—Albert Bandura. In *10.1007/978-3-030-43620-9\_7*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-43620-9\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-43620-9_7)
- Sanders, M. R. (2023). The Triple P system of evidence-based parenting support: Past, present, and future directions. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review, 26*(4), 880–903. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-023-00441-8>
- Sanders, M. R., Divan, G., Singhal, M., Turner, K. M. T., Velleman, R., Michelson, D., & Patel, V. (2022). Scaling up parenting interventions is critical for attaining the sustainable development goals. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development, 53*(5), 941–952. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10578-021-01171-0>
- Sanders, M. (2003). Triple P – Positive Parenting Program: A population approach to promoting competent parenting. *Advances in Mental Health, 2*(3), 127–138. <https://doi.org/10.5172/jamh.2.3.127>
- Scott, S., & Gardner, F. (2015). Parenting programs. In *Encyclopedia of Early Childhood Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118381953.ch37>
- Sharma, B. (2023). The evolution of parenting styles: Traditional vs. modern approaches to child rearing. *Journal of Child Development and Research, 12*(3), 55–65.
- Story, M., Nannery, M. S., & Schwartz, M. B. (2009). Schools and obesity prevention: Creating school environments and policies to promote healthy eating and physical activity. *The Milbank Quarterly, 87*(1), 71–100. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0009.2009.00548.x>
- Ulaş, S., Seçer, İ., & Ay, İ. (2023). Parent-child interaction therapy. *Current Approaches in Psychiatry, 15*, <https://doi.org/10.18863/pgy.1226401>
- van Esch, R., & Haan, M. (2017). Implementing parenting programmes across cultural contexts: A perspective on the deficit narrative. *European Journal of Development Research, 29*, 983–998. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41287-017-0102-7>