

Interactive Effects of Nitrogen and Sulphur on the Growth, Seed Yield and Oil Quality of Canola

Mumtaz Akhtar Cheema, Asghar Ali, Muhammad Farrukh Saleem and Moueen-ud-Din
Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad-Pakistan

Abstract

Investigations were carried out to see the interactive effects of nitrogen and sulphur on the growth, seed yield and oil quality of canola (*Brassica napus* L.). The experimental treatments comprised four nitrogen levels i.e. 0, 60, 90 and 120 kg ha⁻¹ and three sulphur levels i.e. 0, 125 and 250 kg ha⁻¹. Different growth and yield parameters of canola such as plant height at maturity, number of pod m⁻², number of seeds pod⁻¹, 1000-seed weight and oil contents were significantly affected by nitrogen and sulphur levels. Maximum seed yield (2704 kg ha⁻¹) was attained where the crop was fertilized @ 120 kg N ha⁻¹, whereas, maximum oil and protein content were recorded where the crop was fertilized @ 120 kg N ha⁻¹ and 250 kg S ha⁻¹.

Key words: Canola, Nitrogen, Sulphur

Introduction

Pakistan has been facing a chronic shortage of edible oils. The total requirement of edible oil for 2000-01 was 1.95 million tonnes of which 0.562 million tonnes came from local production and remaining 1.388 million tonnes was imported (Anonymous, 2001). Rapeseed and mustard are the second important source after cotton seed contributing towards the national production of edible oil (Anonymous, 2001) but their oil is of low quality due to high erucic acid contents (> 60%) which decreases the taste and flavour and glucosinolates (> 100 µ mg⁻¹) which not only cause nutritional disorders and goitres but also adversely affect growth and reproduction of animals if fed at significant level in diet (Varma and Reddy, 1985). Newly introduced canola cultivars with low erucic acid and glucosinolates also known as “double zero” varieties made the canola oil more popular. Besides, it has the lowest level of saturated and highest level of mono and poly-unsaturated fatty acids which reduce cholesterol level. Keeping in view the importance of canola oil, there is a dire need to evolve certain package of technology for exploring the full potential of the crop.

There are different factors responsible for low yield of canola. Besides many other agronomic aspects proper fertilization leads to higher yields, therefore its balance and judicious use is of prime importance.

Nitrogen is one of the most important nutrient elements affecting the yield attributes and yield of Indian mustard (Saini *et al.*, 1989). Higher rate of nitrogen application at sowing leads to more rapid leaf area development, prolongs the life of leaves, improves leaf area duration after flowering and increases overall crop assimilation thus contributing to increased seed yield (Wright *et al.*, 1988). Sulphur is the “fourth major nutrient” in crop production. It is a component of amino acids cysteine, methionine, essential amino acids for protein synthesis. Canola has higher requirements of sulphur than cereals. Grant and Bailey (1993) reported that S deficiencies frequently restrict canola yield. Oilseed crops, in general respond more to sulphur application which is expressed in yield and quality of produce (Nagar *et al.*, 1993, Patgiri and Baruah, 1993). It also increases the oil contents of crops (Tisdal *et al.*, 1950). Increase in oil concentration has also been observed with sulphur application on deficient soils. Application of sulphur on deficient soil can increase protein content (Nuttal *et al.*, 1987). Sulphur is also essential for the formation of chlorophyll and nodulation in leguminous plants. Therefore the present study was conducted to investigate the yield and oil quality response of canola to different nitrogen and sulphur levels.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at the Agronomic Research Area, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad (31.25° N, 73.09° E) during the year 1999-2000. The soil was a sandy clay loam in texture. Before sowing soil test showed a pH of 8.0, 0.93% organic matter, 0.042% N, 9.1 mg kg⁻¹ P₂O₅ and 131 mg kg⁻¹ K₂O. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) under factorial arrangement with three replications and net plot size was 1.2 m x 5 m. The experimental treatments comprised four nitrogen levels i.e. 0, 60, 90 and 120 kg N ha⁻¹ and three sulphur levels i.e. 0, 125 and 250 kg S ha⁻¹. Crop (Canola cv. Hyola 401) was sown on 14th October, 1999 using a seed rate of 5 kg ha⁻¹ in 30 cm apart rows with a single row hand drill on a well prepared fine seed bed. Urea,

Corresponding Author: Mumtaz Akhtar Cheema
Department of Agronomy,
University of Agriculture, Faisalabad-Pakistan

triple superphosphate and crude sulphur used as source of nitrogen, phosphorous and sulphur, respectively. A standard dose of phosphorus (60 kg ha^{-1}), sulphur and half of nitrogen was side dressed at the sowing time and remaining half nitrogen was top dressed at flowering stage with second irrigation. All other agronomic practices were kept normal and uniform for all the treatments. Observations recorded during the course of study using the standard procedures were plant height at maturity (cm), number of pods m^{-2} , number of seeds pod^{-1} , 1000-seed weight (g), seed yield (kg ha^{-1}), seed oil percentage (Soxhlet Fat Extraction Method, A.O.A.C. 1990) and seed protein content (Jackson, 1962). Data collected were analysed statistically using Fisher's analysis of variance technique and least significant difference (LSD) test at 5% probability was applied to compare the differences among treatments' means (Steel and Torrie, 1984).

Results and Discussion

Data regarding plant height as affected by various treatments at maturity are given in Table 1. Plant height was significantly affected by different levels of nitrogen and sulphur. Maximum plant height (138.7 cm) was observed at N_2 (90 kg N) which is statistically at par with plant height achieved with N_3 (120 kg N) treatment. Minimum plant height (127.3 cm) was attained in N_0 (0 kg N) treatment. Similarly maximum plant height (138.2 cm) was observed in S_2 (250 kg S) while the minimum plant height (130.4 cm) was found in control (S_0). The interaction between nitrogen and sulphur was found to be non-significant. Bhagwan and Kumar (1996) working in India on Indian mustard also reported that increasing rate of N significantly increased the plant height, over the control and lower rates of N application.

The data pertaining to number of branches plant^{-1} is presented in Table 1. It was revealed that number of branches plant^{-1} was significantly affected by different treatment of nitrogen and sulphur. Maximum number of branches plant^{-1} (13.10) was observed at N_3 (120 kg) followed by N_2 (90 kg) which gave 10.70 branches plant^{-1} while lowest number of branches plant^{-1} (6.60) was produced in case of N_0 treatment. Maximum number of branches plant^{-1} (10.59) was produced by S_2 (250 kg) treatment followed by S_1 (125 kg) and S_0 (0 kg) which gave the 9.70 and 9.16 number of branches plant^{-1} , respectively. The interaction between nitrogen and sulphur (NxS) was found to be non-significant. However, higher number of branches plant^{-1} at 120 kg N and 250 kg S can be attributed to the balanced nutrient management. Ali *et al.* (1996) working in Bangladesh on rapeseed also reported similar findings. They observed that application of N upto 120 kg ha^{-1} significantly increased branches plant^{-1} over the lower

rates of N application. They also reported that sulphur significantly increased the primary branches plant^{-1} . Nitrogen and sulphur fertilizers gave the significant results with respect to number of siliquae m^{-2} but their interaction (NxS) was found to be non-significant (Table 1). Maximum number of siliquae m^{-2} (6116) was produced by N_3 (120 kg) treatment followed by N_2 (90 kg). Minimum number of siliquae m^{-2} (3742) was recorded in N_0 (control) treatment. Similarly, the treatment S_2 (250 kg) gave the maximum number of siliquae m^{-2} (5254) followed by S_0 (control) treatment which produced 4755 siliquae m^{-2} . Minimum number of siliquae m^{-2} (4751) were recorded in S_1 (125 kg) treatment. Maximum number of siliquae m^{-2} was attained when the crop was fertilized @ 120 kg N and 250 kg S ha^{-1} . More number of siliquae m^{-2} may be due to higher levels of N and S. Improvement in yield components in Indian mustard with N and S was also reported by Varma and Reddy (1985).

Significant differences were recorded in number of seeds siliqua^{-1} among various nitrogen and sulphur rates (Table 1). N_3 (120 kg) treatment produced maximum number of seeds siliqua^{-1} (21.58) than all other treatments. Similarly N_2 (90 kg) treatment was also superior in the number of seeds siliqua^{-1} than lower rate of nitrogen application and control, and gave 18, 15 and 13 number of seeds siliqua^{-1} , respectively. Similarly, maximum number of seeds siliqua^{-1} (18.36) was attained in S_2 (250 kg) treatment, followed by S_1 (125 kg) treatment which produced 17.46 seeds against the minimum of 16.28 seeds siliqua^{-1} in S_0 (control) treatment. The interaction between different nitrogen and sulphur levels (NxS) was found to be significant (Table 2). Maximum number of seeds siliqua^{-1} (22.72) was observed in N_3S_2 (120 kg and 250 kg S) treatment combination while minimum number of seeds siliqua^{-1} (12.32) was noted with N_0S_0 (control) treatment combination. The results of this study are quite in line with the early research work done by Ali *et al.* (1996) who reported maximum number of seeds siliqua^{-1} (31.22) at 120 kg N ha^{-1} . However, maximum number of seeds siliqua^{-1} (31.19) was observed at 30 kg S ha^{-1} against the lower rates of S application and control.

The data regarding 1000-seed weight of canola as shown in Table 1, revealed significant effect of nitrogen and sulphur fertilizers application. While the interaction (NxS) between the two fertilizers was found to be non-significant. The maximum 1000-seed weight (3.82 g) was recorded in N_3 (120 kg) treatment, followed by N_2 (90 kg) treatment i.e. 3.65 g. Minimum 1000-seed weight (3.29 g) was produced by N_0 (control) treatment. Similarly the treatment S_2 (250 kg) gave the maximum 1000-seed weight (3.62 g) while the minimum 1000-seed weight (3.49 g) was found in S_0 (control) treatment. The results are supported by Singh and Gangasaran (1987), Trivedi and Singh (1999) who reported increased levels of nitrogen and sulphur produced the highest 1000-seed weight.

Table 1: Effect of different nitrogen and sulphur levels on plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹, number of siliqua m⁻², 1000-seed weight, seed yield and protein content of canola.

| Treatments | Plant height at maturity (cm) | No. of ranches plant ⁻¹ | No. of siliqua m ⁻² | 1000-seed weight (g) | Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | Protein contents (%) |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Nitrogen levels | | | | | | |
| N ₀ (0 kg ha ⁻¹) | 127.3c* | 6.60d | 3742d | 3.29d | 1563d | 18.49d |
| N ₁ (60 kg ha ⁻¹) | 132.6b | 8.67c | 4568c | 3.45c | 1994c | 20.39c |
| N ₂ (90 kg ha ⁻¹) | 138.7a | 10.70b | 5254b | 3.65b | 2337b | 21.65b |
| N ₃ (120 kg ha ⁻¹) | 138.1a | 13.10a | 6116a | 3.82a | 2704a | 22.55a |
| Sulphur levels | | | | | | |
| S ₀ (0 kg ha ⁻¹) | 130.4c | 9.16b | 4755b | 3.49b | 2087.5 ^{NS} | 20.54c |
| S ₁ (125 kg ha ⁻¹) | 133.9b | 9.70b | 4751b | 3.54b | 2138.89 | 20.72b |
| S ₂ (250 kg ha ⁻¹) | 138.2a | 10.59a | 5254a | 3.62a | 2222.22 | 21.04a |

N.S. = Non-significant; *Any two means not sharing a letter in common differ significantly at 5% probability level.

Table 2: Effect of different nitrogen and sulphur levels on the number of seeds siliqua⁻¹ of canola.

| | S ₀ (0 kg S ha ⁻¹) | S ₁ (125 kg S ha ⁻¹) | S ₂ (250 kg S ha ⁻¹) | Mean |
|---|---|---|---|--------|
| N ₀ (0 kg ha ⁻¹) | 12.23i* | 13.78k | 14.33j | 13.45d |
| N ₁ (60 kg ha ⁻¹) | 15.17i | 15.77h | 16.79g | 15.91c |
| N ₂ (90 kg ha ⁻¹) | 17.28f | 18.70d | 19.60d | 18.53b |
| N ₃ (120 kg ha ⁻¹) | 20.43c | 21.58b | 22.72a | 21.58a |
| Mean | 16.28c | 17.46b | 18.36a | |

*Any two means not sharing a letter in common differ significantly at 5% probability level.

Table 3: Effect of different nitrogen and sulphur levels on the oil content (%) of canola.

| | S ₀ (0 kg S ha ⁻¹) | S ₁ (125 kg S ha ⁻¹) | S ₂ (250 kg S ha ⁻¹) | Mean |
|---|---|---|---|--------|
| N ₀ (0 kg ha ⁻¹) | 43.00c* | 43.50b | 44.27a | 43.59a |
| N ₁ (60 kg ha ⁻¹) | 41.13e | 41.37de | 43.47b | 41.99c |
| N ₂ (90 kg ha ⁻¹) | 41.20e | 43.33bc | 41.70d | 42.08c |
| N ₃ (120 kg ha ⁻¹) | 41.20e | 43.70b | 44.43a | 43.11b |
| Mean | 41.63c | 42.97b | 43.47a | |

*Any two means not sharing a letter in common differ significantly at 5% probability level.

A perusal of Table 1 shows that the different levels of nitrogen showed significant effect on seed yield. The maximum seed yield (27.04 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in N₃ (120 kg) treatment followed by N₂ (90 kg) treatment which gave 2337 kg ha⁻¹ seed yield. The minimum seed yield (1563 kg ha⁻¹) was produced by N₀ (control) treatment. The higher seed yield in N₃ (120 kg N) was based largely on greater number of siliquae plant⁻¹ and seeds siliqua⁻¹. These results are in accordance with the early research workers working on rapeseed (Ali *et al.*, 1996) who reported maximum yield at 120 kg N ha⁻¹. Sharma (1994) working on Indian mustard also reported that increasing rate of N and S fertilizer increased seed yield. The data exhibited in Table 3 revealed that maximum oil content (43.59 %) was produced by N₀ treatment, while minimum oil content (41.99 %) were found in N₁ (60 kg) treatment. Increasing rates of sulphur increased the oil contents of canola and the maximum oil content (43.47%) was recorded in S₂ treatment followed by S₁ treatment which produced 42.97% oil content against the

minimum of 41.63 % in control. The interaction between different nitrogen and sulphur levels was found to be significant (Table 3). The maximum oil content (44.43%) was observed N₃S₂ (120 kg N & 125 kg S) treatment combination which is statistically at par with N₀S₂ (0 kg N & 250 kg S) treatment. The minimum oil content (41.13 %) was obtained N₁S₀ (60 kg N & 90 kg S) treatment combination. These results are in accordance with those of Verma and Reddy (1985) and Ali *et al.* (1996).

Protein contents were significantly affected by different nitrogen and sulphur levels (Table 1). The maximum protein content (22.55%) was observed in N₃ (120 kg) treatment followed by N₂ (90 kg) treatment. The minimum protein (18.49%) was recorded in control, treatment. Similarly the maximum protein (21.04%) was also obtained in S₂ (250 kg) treatment followed by S₁ (125 kg) while the minimum protein (20.54) was produced by control. The interaction between nitrogen and sulphur was found to be non-significant. The protein content increased with increasing nitrogen

inputs which showed inverse relation ship with oil content. Ahmad *et al.* (1999) obtained similar results with nitrogen in respect of oil and protein content of *Brassica campestris* and *Brassica juncea*.

References

- A.O.A.C. Official Method of Analysis. 15th Ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Inc. Virginia, U.S.A. 770-771, 1990.
- Ahmad, A., Abraham G. and Abdin, M.Z. Physiological investigation of the impact of nitrogen and sulphur application on seed and oil yield of rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* L.) and mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern. and Coss.) genotypes. J. Agron. Crop. Sci., 1999, 183(1): 19-25.
- Ali, M.H., Zaman S.M.H. and Altaf, H.S.M. Variation in yield, oil and protein content of rapeseed (*Brassica campestris*) in relation to levels of nitrogen, sulphur and plant density. Indian J. Agron., 1996, 41(2): 290-295.
- Anonymous. Economic Survey of Pakistan 2000-01. Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Livestock, Finance Division, Economic Advisor's Wing, Islamabad, Pakistan. pp: 11-12, 2001.
- Bhagwan, S. and Vinod, K. Response of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) to nitrogen and sulphur application under rainfed conditions. Indian J. Agron., 1996, 41(2): 286-289.
- Bidwell, R.G.S. Plant physiology. 2nd Ed. MacMillan Publishing Co. Inc., New York. pp: 726, 1979.
- Clandinin, D. Canola meal for livestock and poultry. Pub. No. 59. Canola Council of Canada, Winnipeg, MB. pp: 25, 1981.
- Grant, C.A. and Bailey L.D. Fertility management in canola production. Canadian J. Plant Sci., 1993, 73: 660-662.
- Jackson, M.C. Soil chemical analysis. Constable and Co. Ltd., London. pp: 183-192, 1982.
- Nagar, R.P., Mali, G.C. and Lal, P. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on yield and chemical composition of soybean in vertisols. J. Indian Society of Soil Sci., 1993, 41: 385-386.
- Nuttall, W.F., Ukrainetz, H., Stewart, J.W.B. and Spurr, D.T. The effect of nitrogen, sulphur and boron on yield and quality of rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) and (*Brassica campestris* L.). Canadian J. Soil Sci., 1987, 67: 545-559.
- Patgiri, D.K. and Baruah, T.C. Effect of sulphur on soil properties, yield and oil content of toria. J. Indian Society of Soil Sci., 1993, 41: 391-392.
- Saini, J.S., Sahota, T.S. and Dhillon, A.S. Agronomy of rapeseed and mustard and their places in new and emerging cropping systems. J. Oilseeds Res., 1989, 6: 220-67.
- Sharma, J.P. Response of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) to different irrigation schedules, and nitrogen and sulphur levels. Indian J. Agron., 1994, 39(3): 421-425.
- Singh, S. and Gangasaran, P. Effect of sulphur and nitrogen on growth, yield, quality and nutrient uptake of Indian rape. Indian J. Agron., 1987, 32(4): 474-475.
- Steel, R.G.D. and Torrie, J.H. Principles and Procedures of statistics. McGraw Hill Book Co., Inc. Tokyo. pp: 172-177, 1984.
- Tisdale, S.L. and Nelson, W.L. Soil fertility and fertilizer. The Macmillan Company Collier-Macmillan Ltd. London. 1966.
- Varma, S.C. and Reddy, V.V.P. Effect of nitrogen and sulphur on rain fed Indian mustard. Agricultural Sciences Digest. India, 1985. 5(1): 10-12.
- Wright, G.C., Smithand C.J. and Woodroofe, M.R. The effect of irrigation and nitrogen fertilizer on rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) production in South-Eastern Australia. I. Growth and Seed Yield. Irrig. Sci., 1988, 9: 1-13.